मसौदा/संशोधित DRAFT/REVISED



भारत सरकार GOVERNMENT OF INDIA



335

राष्ट्रीय प्रतिदर्श सर्वेक्षण संगठन

NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY ORGANISATION

पैतीसवां दौर THIRTY FIFTH ROUND

जुलाई 1980 — जून 1981 JULY 1980 — JUNE 1981

> संख्या 335 NUMBER 335

नगरीय क्षेत्रों में निर्माण पर प्रायोगिक सर्वेक्षण विषयक रिपोर्ट

REPORT ON PILOT SURVEY ON CONSTRUCTION IN URBAN AREAS

(योजना-ख) (SCHEME-B)

अक्तूबर 1986 OCTOBER 1586

सांख्यिकी विभाग
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

नई दिल्ली NEW DELHI

GOVEKNMENT OF INDIA NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY ORGANISATION

THIRTYF FTH KOUND

JULY 1980 - JUNE 1981

REPORT ON
PILOT SURVEY ON CONSTRUCTION
SCHEME -B



DECEMBER 1988
DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS

NEW DELHI

Note of Caution

Being the scanned copy of old NSS report, this document may suffer from following limitations -

- i. Poor Quality of the Scanned images.
- ii. Page(s) missing in between.
- iii. Improper sequencing/arrangement.

CONTENTS



PAGES

1. CHAPTER ONE: DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY 1 - 8 2. CHAPTER TWO: RESULTS OF THE SURVEY 9 - 23 3. CHAPTER THREE: CONCLUSIONS 24 - 25 4. ANNEXURE 26

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY ORGANISATION

THIRTYFIFTH ROUND: JULY 1980 - JUNE 1981

REPORT ON

THE EXPLORATORY SURVEY ON CONSTRUCTION : SCHEME - B

CHAPTER ONE : DESCRIPTION OF THE SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 The thirty fifth round of the National Sample Survey (NSS) (July 1980 to June 1981) was devoted to a survey on social consumption and an exploratory survey on construction. The latter consisted of two parts: Scheme A and Scheme B. Scheme A was carried out in the sample villages of sub-sample 1 in the rural sector and all the sample blocks in the urban sector. Schedule 1.4: Particulars of Construction was canvassed in a sample of constructions selected from a list of constructions identified at their site (the "site approach") in the sample villages and blocks. The Scheme B survey was, however, carried out in an idependant sample of towns with the object of examining the feasibility of using the municipal sanction lists (i.e. sanction for construction of buildings) as a sampling frame for construction survey. This report is based on the results of the Scheme B survey,
- 1.2 A description of the Scheme B survey is given in this chapter in the following paragraphs. The important results thrown up by the survey are analysed in Chapter Two. This analysis will be based mainly on tables at all-India level. The main conclusions and recommendations are presented in the last Chapter Three.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

1.3 The need for reliable statistics relating to housing and construction has been felt for long. The major users of these data are: National Buildings Organisation (NBO), Planning commission (PC and Central Statistical Organisation (CSO). The

main items on which statistical information is generally required are:

- (1) Rate of new construction in urban and rural areas including number of rooms and floor area added during the reference year.
- (2) Index of prices of building materials
- (3) Investiment in and financing of building construction (This includes expenditure on building materials, construction services and labour).
- (4) Employment in construction industry
- (5) Wages of construction labour

more than one expert group has gone into the question of how to develop and maintain a statistical system for regularly obtaining the required information; 1 and this has been the subject of discussion at the Conference of Central and State Statistical Organisations (1981: Agenda Item 5).

1.4 Construction is undertaken by various agencies:
government and public sector undertakings, private corporate sector,
co-operatives and households. The role of NSS is normally
limited to the household sector. At the instance of NBO, NSS
has collected data relating to construction in a mumber of past
rounds. A list of these enquires are given below:-

NSS round

type of data collected

- 1. 22 to 24, 27 and 28
- 2. 15 (rural) and 17 (urban)
- 3. survey on investment in and financing of building construction in urban areas (1971-74)
- 4. 27 (rural)

counts of new buildings completed during one year preceding date of survey (in listing schedule).

current building activity; expenditure on building materials, labour employed and services utilised (as part of data collected on capital formation)

expenditure on building materials, labour and services and source of finance

- 1.5 The experience of these enquiries has shown that construction activity is not evenly distributed in the towns and cities. On the contrary, it is often concentrated in certain areas of the towns. This being the case, a sample of blocks (the first stage sampling units of NSS in the urban sector) selected from a general frame may not be statistically efficient and may fail to yield enough sample constructions at the second stage of selection. In the survey mentioned at serial number 3 above, it may be mentioned here, this problem was sought to be overcome by stratification of blocks by intensity of building activity with the help of ward-wise data on municipal sanctions for building construction.
- 1.6 If there existed a frame in the form of a list of the buildings constructed during a given reference period (or satisfying any other suitable criterion for that matter, according to the purpose of the survey) the intermediate selection of an areal unit at the first stage can be altogether avoided. It was felt that the lists of sanctions is sued for building construction by municipal bodies might serve this purpose.
- 1.7 A type study was conducted in NSS 34th round (1979-80) in 76 towns covering all population size classes and distributed over the different parts of the country to study the availability of municipal sanction lists, their accessibility and the nature of information available therein which could be used for selection of an efficient sample. It showed that sanction lists were available in all towns with population above 20,000, and also in more than 80% of small towns. While some items of information like address of site, purpose of construction etc. were available, others like agency of construction, completion of construction etc. were not always available. In some towns no sanction is required for additions, alteration and improvement which, however, forms an essential part of construction activity.

Further to explore the feasibility of using the manici-1.8 pal sanction lists as a sampling frame for selection of building constructions, a pilot survey was included as part of the enquiry on construction in NSS 35th round. The construction survey of 35th round was carried out according to two schemes, designated as Scheme A and Scheme B. Scheme A was, like any other NSS socio-economic (SE) enquiry, undertaken in the general sample of villages and blocks of the round with the schedule 1.4 : Particulars of construction canvassed in a sample of constructions selected at random from a list prepared in the listing schedule. This was intended to build up estimates relating to aspects of construction (including : materials, labour and services consumed, amount and source of finance etc.). Scheme B, on the other hand, was carried out in an independant sample of towns, with the object of studying, most importantly, the utility of the municipal sanction lists for use as a list frame of building constructions for a construction survey. Estimation of population parameters was not the main objective of the Scheme - B enquiry and the survey design was not drawn-up with the purpose of building up such estimates.

SURVEY DESIGN OF SCHEME - B

out in the urban sector of all the states and Union Territories of India excepting: Sikkim, Ladakh district of Jammu & Kashmir, Andaman & Nicobar Islands, Dadra & Nagar Haveli and Lakshadweep. (Tripura and Arunachal Pradesh were covered in the survey, but as no returns have been received from there the present report does not include any result pertaining to them) The scope of the survey was limited to building constructions undertaken by households (this in the present context includes individuals, joint families and proprietory and partnership firms), private non-household organisation excluding the corporate sector. Constructions in the public sector and private corporate sector were outside the coverage of this survey.

- 1.10 The sample design was stratified two-stage with town as the first stage unit and each municipal sanction for building construction as the second stage unit.
- 1.11 <u>Stratification and allocation of towns</u>: The towns within each state/U.T. were divided into four strata according to their 1971 census population as follows:-

stratum numb	er 1971 census population size class of t	town
1	I (population 1 lakh and above)	
2	II (50,000 to 99,999)	
3	III (" 20,000 to 49,999)	
4	IV to VI (" below 20,000)	

In the "Central sample" all towns of stratum - 1, 50% of towns of stratum - 2, 25% of towns of stratum - 3 and 10% of towns of stratum - 4 were selected. The same principle of allocation was followed in the "State samples" of the participating states.

- 1.12 Selection of sample towns: All the towns of stratum 1 were included in both the central and the state samples. From strata 2 to 4, the sample towns were selected circular systematically with equal probability after arranging the towns in descending order of population. (While selecting, it was ensured that the central and state samples did not contain the same towns). A total of 639 towns were selected in the Central sample and 621 towns in the state sample, the 141 class I towns forming part of both.
- 1.13 Stratification and selection of sanctions: In the absence of the dates of commencement and completion of work in the sanction lists it was difficult exactly to know whether a particular sanction order related to a building on which some expenditure on construction was incurred during the usual reference period of one year preceding the date of survey. Hence it was decided to choose purposively four different months

suitably spaced and list all the sanctions issued in these months separately in the hope that an analysis of the data collected from the sample sanctions of these four months would throw some light on the extent of inclusion of extraneous units in the sampling frame as the coverage is extended backwards in time. The 6th, 12th, 28th and 44th months prior to the date of listing were selected for this purpose. In the state sample in the towns of stratum - 1, the months were 12th, 20th, 36th and 52nd prior to listing. (This was done because the towns of stratum - 1 are common to the central and state samples).

1.14 Out of the sanctions issued in each of these months, those, if any, issued to gevernment and public sector undertakings and the corporate sector were excluded, they being outside the coverage of this enquiry. The rest were divided into two sub-strata: sub-stratum - 1 consisting of sanctions issued to households and private non-household organisations except housing co-operatives and sub-stratum - 2, those issued to housing co-operatives only.

1.15 The number of sample sanctions proposed to be selected from sub-stratum - 1 of each month was as follows:-

allocated sample size for each of the months
(2)
25
15
10

All sanctions of sub-stratum - 2 were selected for survey unless the total listed was more than 5, in which case only five of them were to be selected for survey. All selections of sanctions were done circular systematically with equal propability.

1.16 The operations of listing and selection of sanctions were carried out in Schedule 0.2(c): List of sanctions. Schedule 1.4, 'Particulars of construction', was canvassed in the selected sanctions. The total number of sample sanctions selected in the central sample was 18291. The state-wise distribution of sample towns and sanctions selected and surveyed is given as Annexure at the end of this report.

ESTIMATION PROCEDURE

- 1.17 This being a pilot survey, a major part of the tables were based on unweighted counts, averages and proportions computed for the various classificatory strata (mostly incorporated in the sample design itself) such as town size class, month of sanction etc. with the object of studying their differential characteristics. Only in the case of tables based on aggregate estimates for domains cutting across the sampling strata, weights have been used.
- 1.18 In such cases the estimate of total for any variate y at state level is given by :-

$$\hat{Y} = \sum_{s} \frac{N_s}{n_s} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \frac{M_{sijk}}{m_{sijk}} \sum_{sijke} \dots \dots \dots$$

where: s, i, j, k and l are the suffixes (respectively) for stratum, sample town, month, sub-stratum and sample sanction;

N and n stand for total number of towns in stratum and number of sample towns surveyed (including any zero case) in that order;

M and m are the number of sanctions listed and surveyed; and y is the value of the variate.

1.19 To estimate the number of constructions, y is taken as the number of constructions covered by a sanction order which is usually 1. Any ratio (e.g. average quantity per construction) is obtained by dividing the estimate of the aggregate appearing as the numerator by that appearing as the denominator.

1.20 The processing of the data was done manually in the D.P. Centres of NSSO (DPD). As the results based on the state sample data have not been ready for many states, this report includes only the data collected in the central sample.

CHAPTER TWO: RESULTS OF THE SURVEY

INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 The important results thrown up by this pilot survey are presented in this chapter alongwith a discussion on their implications for methodology. As already indicated, the data given in this chapter relate to those obtained from the central sample only. Further, the discussion here is based on all states pooled results.
- 2.2 The important concepts and definitions adopted for the survey are given below.

CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

- 2.3.1 Building: A building is an independent free standing structure comprising of one or more rooms and/or other spaces covered by a roof and usually enclosed within external walls or dividing walls which extend from the foundation to the roof. If more than one physically separated structure constitute one living unit, all of them together will be treated as a building.
- 2.3.2 New construction: New construction is defined as the erection of an entirely new structure irrespective of whether the site on which it is built was already occupied or not.
- 2.3.3 Addition, alteration or improvement of building: This is the extension and/or the betterment of the existing structures. Such work is intended to increase the usefulness of the structures by making provisions for additional floor space and/or amenities or it extends the life of the structures. Any type of remodelling or renovation or major repair work is to be treated as addition, alternation or improvement. This will exclude minor repairs.
- 2.3.4 Building contruction: New constructionor addition, alteration or improvement of a building constitutes building construction. Erection of boundary walls of a building will be taken as part of building construction.

- 2.3.5 Type of structure: Pucca, Semi-pucca and Katcha: A pucca building is one whose walls and roof at least are made of "pucca" materials. (Pucca materials are: oven-burnt bricks, stone, cement, concrete, jack-board, tiles and timber; corrugated iron or asbestos sheets used for roofing are also treated as pucca). A kutcha building is one which has both walls and roofs made of non-pucca materials. A semi-pucca structure is one which is neither purely pucca nor purely katcha.
- 2.3.6 Owner (of a construction work) is an individual, agency or collective body on whose account the construction is carried out. Such an individual or agency will be considered the owner of the construction while the construction is on-going. For instance, in the case of a housing cooperative society constructing a block of flats which will be subsequently handed over to the members, the society will be considered as the owner at the time of construction even though the individual members of the society will be the owners after the flats are handed over.
- 2.3.7 Date of commencement of construction: Construction is commenced when the first physical operation such as site preparation, delivery of materials and equipment at the site, start of excavation or laying of foundation after the planning and designing is completed. If there are breaks in construction work, this will relate to the date of first starting.
- 2.3.8 Completion of construction: A building construction will be regarded as completed if it is considered by the owner to be ready for first occupation.
- 2.3.9 Expenditure on construction during last year: This is the cost of construction incurred during the reference period of 365 days preceding the date of survey which includes (i) materials used (ii) wages payable to the labour engaged and (iii) the charges payable for the services used. The expenditure will relate only to the items and amount actually used in the construction work during the reference period. Any item of expenditure on materials, labour and services etc., purchased/hired or procured otherwise but not used in the construction is not included in this.

Materials might have been purchased on cash or credit, obtained from home, received as gift or free collections, Materials received as gift were evaluated at current market prices and those supplied from home production, at "ex-farm" or "ex-factory" rates. For materials obtained as free collection, only transport charges and other incidental charges were taken into account. (Evaluation of any used material used for construction was based on the current market price of such items; but expenditure on used materials was not included in the total expenditure).

2.3.10 Source of finance: Source of finance procured for construction consists of (i) borrowing from employer (ii) borrowing from other sources and (iii) other sources (which are not borrowing) Other sources include savings, pension, gratuity, provident fund, inherited wealth, subsidy and grants, gifts etc. In the case of borrowings, the total amount of loan used or intended to be used for the construction work was taken into account irrespective of whether all of it has been used or not. In the case of other sources (saving, etc.) only the amount actually used was considered. Finance for purchase of land was not included in this item.

CHARACTERISTICS OF SANCTIONS LISTED AND SELECTED

2.4.1 Table (1) gives the distribution of sample towns and average number of sanctions issued per month by size class of town and month prior to date of listing.

Table (1): Distribution of sample towns surveyed (N) and average number of sanctions issued per month(x) by prior to listing and size class of town

month		popu]	ation si	ze class	(1971	census)		ar Me
prior to listing	1-lakh	and above	50,000	-99,999	20.000-	49,999	belo	w20,000
	N	. X	N	X	N	X	N	X
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
6.71	141	76	100	23	150	13	163	5
12		66		22	s 1	9		4
28		67		19.		. 10		3
44		50		17		8		3

2.4.2 Table (2) gives the distribution of sanctions listed by type of construction.

Table (2): Distribution of sanctions listed by type of construction.

srl.	type of construction s	number of anctions listed
(1)	(2)	(3)
1	res buildings	38,439
2.	auditions, alterations and improvements	12,558
3.	non-building constructions	1,848
4.	total	52,845

2.4.3 Table (3) gives the distribution of sanctions listed by ownership type:

srl.	ownership type	number of sanctions listed
3.100	(2)	(3)
1.	households	49,915
2.	non-household instritution other than housing cooper and public and corporate sectors	
3.	housing co-operatives	492
4.	private corporate sector	392
5.	public sector	732
6.	total	52,845

The above table shows that an over whelming majority of the sanctions are issued to households. The state-wise figures (not included in this report) shows that the co-operative movement in househ construction had taken roots, at the time of this survey, only in Gujarat and Maharashtra and in a small measure in Tamilnadu and West Bengal. And in these states, the co-operative movement is mostly confined to class-I towns and cities.

- 2.4.4 Table (4) gives the distribution of sample sanctions selected and surveyed:-
 - Table (4): Distribution of sample sanctions selected and surveyed by size class of town and month before listing

month			popula	ation s	size c	Lass o:	town			
prior to	1 l	akh more	50,00	0-	20,0	000-	28,8	380	tot	al
listing	sel- exted	sur- veyed	sel- ected	sur- veyed		sur- veyed		sur- veyed		sur- veyed
(1)	(2)	.(3)	(4)	(5)	(.6)	(1)	(3)	(9)	(10)	(11)
6	2128	1914.	1248	1178	978	928	550	502	4904	4522
12	2119	_1961	1211	1123	845	788	499	441	4674	4313
28	2150	1937	11.18	1012	836	771	472	452	4576	4172
44	1967	. 1814	1031	951	-770	710	359	336	4127	3811
Name and Address of the Address of t					2- 2-1				Transaction of the control of the co	100
total	8364	7626	4608	4264	3429	3197	1380	1731	18281	16818

2.4.5 Out of a total of 18281 sample sanction selected, only 16818 could be surveyed. The casualty rate (about 8%) is higher than that usually observed in the NSS socio-economic surveys.

DISTRIBUTION OF SANCTIONS BY STAGE OF CONSTRUCTION

- 2.5.1 In block 3 of schedule 1.4 information on proportion of work completed as on the date of survey (block 5, item 9) and the same carried out during one year preceding the date of survey (item 10) has been collected. Using this the sample sanctions can be classified by the proportion of work completed. This, tabulated by month prior to listing, would give a very important indication relevant to the main purpose of the survey, viz. testing the utility of sanction lists as a sampling frame.
- 2.5.2 Table (5) gives the distribution of sample sanctions by stage of work for each population size class of town and month prior of listing.

Table (5): Distribution of sample sanctions by stage of progress of work for each population size class and month of sanction: All states

population class	month prior to listing	comple	rtion of eted one e the dat	year	work start durin last	d total	
The same of the same of		100%	50% - 100%	less than 50%	year		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1 lakh and	6	2.78	3.16	4.55	64.41	25.09	100.00
above	12	13.31	7.12	11.10	51.69	16.76	100.00
	28	45.92	18.92	12.32	11.82	11.02	100.00
	44	62.15	13.16	6.93	8.19	9.57	100.00
50,000 -	6	1.90	2.26	2.80	69.47	23.57	100.00
99,999	12	5.99	4.73	6.67	65.22	17.39	100.00
W _L	28	57.28	15.53	8.74	8.74	9.71	100.00
	44	66.67	12.07	6.55	4.02	10.69	100.00
A Company of the Comp					4.02	10.09	100.00
20,000 -	6	0.85	2.06	3.14	73.88	20.07	100.00
49,999	12	4.05	2.97	7.69	71.52	13.77	100.00
(*************************************	28	50.34	15.91	12.03	13.28	8.44	100.00
	44	64.20	12.69	10.27	5.74	7.10	100.00
			4 8 7 7 7 7 7			**************************************	
less than	6	1.07	1.94	1.94	81.50	13.55	100.00
20,000	12	5.82	2.80	8,84	74.35	8.19	100.00
. A.	28	56.11	15.21	9.23	15.21	4.24	100.00
	44	64.33	12.42		10.51	5.73	100.00
100000000000000000000000000000000000000							100.00
all classes	6	1.93	2.53	3.47	69.79	22.28	100.00
	12	8.78	5.21	9.03	61.62	15.36	100.00
	28	51.35	17.24	11.14	10.73	9.54	100.00
F7 2	44	63.89	12.73	7.48	6.87	9.03	100.00

- 2.5.3 The most important criterion that a list of units should satisfy in order that it can be used as a sampling frame is that it should include all the units of the target population and, ideally, should not contain any other unit ie any extraneous element. In a building construction survey the target population usually consists of all constructions on which some expenditure on construction has been made during a reference period of one year preceding the date of survey, Alternatively, sometimes it may be defined as building constructions completed during the reference period. According to the first definition, the sanctions covered in columns (3) and (7) are extraneous units.
- 2.5.4 The proportion of extraneous units is seen to be more in earlier months 28th and 44th months prior to listing. However, with only the experience of this survey it is difficult to set a cut-off point. For, even among sanctions issued 44 months prior to listing, only about 60% have reported 100% completion of work prior to the reference period. Thus, in order to ensure that all the units of the target population are included in the frame, the listing of sanctions should go well beyond the 44th month before listing. This, obviously, will bring ina a large number of extraneous units and thus seriously affect the efficiency of the sample.
- 2.5.5 In some towns there appears to exist a time limit such as two years for the validity of a sanction order, on expiry of which it has to be renewed. Supposing this is strictly followed in the case of all the towns and cities of the country, and assuming that no construction activity takes place without a currently valid sanction order, a list of all sanctions valid at any time during the reference period should include all the target population units without including unduly many extraneous units. It is only hard to believe that such a happy situation exists in all the states and U.T's of Indian Union, However the fact of the situation may be ascertained from efficial sources.

2.6.1 The following table, Table 5, throws some further light on this aspect.

Table (6): Percentage distribution of sample sanctions with work started by interval between sanction and commencement of work

months prior to listing		commencement in months after sanction									
	less than 6 months	6-12 months	12-24 months	more than 24 months	all (nolof sample reporting in brackets)						
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)						
6	90.35	8.53	0.97	0.15	100.00 (3293)						
12	80.94	14.40	4.43	0.23	100.00 (3452)						
28	80.80	8.24	8.16	2.80	100.00 (55.6)						
44	82.44	7.85	5.57	4.14	100.00 (3285)						
all	83.55	9.78	4.83	1.82	100.00 (13536)						

- 2.6.2 The above table indicates that although in about 80% of the cases reporting commencement of work (67% all surveyed samples) work is started within six months of issue of sanction, in a significant proportion of cases the start of the work is delayed and perhaps not begun at all.
- 2.7 The above findings clearly show that : (A) for ensuring that all constructions on which some expenditure has been made during the reference period of one year preceding the date of survey are covered in the list frame, original sanctions issued during at least the previous four years or more will have to be listed and (b) if that is done the list will contain about 20% to 50% extraneous units. The situation will be worse if the target population is defined as constructions "completed" during the reference year.

2.8 So far the findings of the survey which have a bearing on the question of the suitability of municipal sanction lists as sampling frame were examined. Now some estimates relating to expenditure on construction, source of finance etc. obtained from the survey may be looked into. The detailed state-wise tables are not given in this report. Some selected estimates extracted from those tables are presented in following paragraphs.

EXPENDITURE ON CONSTRUCTION DURING LAST YEAR

- 2.9.1 State-wise average expenditure on construction under the broad heads of materials, labour and services during the 365 days preceding the date of survey is given in Table (7). The denominators for obtaining these averages are the estimated number of constructions on whichsome expenditure on construction has been made during the last 365 days (called "eligible constructions" i.e., members of the usual target population). Column (13) gives the number of sample constructions on which this is based (the total number of sample sanctions surveyed i.e. given in the Annexure).
- 2.9.2 The table shows wide variations not only in the quantity of the materials and labour consumed, but in the effective prices as well over the states and Union Territories. (It may be mentioned here that the estimate of the quantity of bricks consumed in Gujarat seems doubtful).

Table (7): Average expenditure on materials, labour and services per "eligible" construction during 365 days preceding the date of survey by state/U.T. (all buildings)

srl no.	state/u.t.	bricks nos. (00)	s(burnt) value (Rs.)	cem quinta (5)	ent l Value (Rs.)	other mate-rials (Rs.)	total mate- rials (Rs.)	labo (man- days)	(Rs.)	servi- ces (Rs.)	total expen- diture (Rs.)	no.or sample cons- truc- tions
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23.	Andhra Pradesh Assam Bihar Gujarat Haryana Himachal Pradesh Jammu & Kashmir Karnataka Kerala Madhya Pradesh Maharas bra Manipur Meghalaya Nagaland Orissa Punjab Rajasthan	112 120 127 1476 135 17 105 65 135 293 376 925 238 79 86 119 56 130 257 123 341 320 60 9	943 1821 3364 5931 3017 823 3479 1647 772 2011 3968 14968 1295 3787 1859 3028 1023 2707 4498 3626 8600 9495 1968 316	38 28 41 99 26 164 25 80 40 30 120 67 49 30 37 22 14 62 36 52 135 182 142 11	2301 1857 2249 4786 1421 11392 1604 4187 2943 1804 7127 7669 7596 2042 2642 1185 918 3672 2128 3186 16332 11454 6126 630	6288 6927 3758 19187 15843 33430 6740 20554 10653 4927 24433 53578 30264 16849 4836 3907 6852 .1116 6497 8090 23043 28688 18543 5892	9532 10605 9371 29904 20281 45645 11823 26388 14368 8742 25528 76215 39155 22678 9337 8120 8793 17495 13123 14902 47975 49637 6838	162 159 259 388 137 646 202 619 148 307 389 551 393 265 282 112 282 332 263 226 546 666 307 66	1928 2040 2129 5318 2094 9404 3141 3300 2875 2227 7798 11325 6720 3984 2546 1867 3304 2797 2845 2538 12486 7838 5081 1488	318 50 272 1702 365 625 276 1536 125 383 6083 476 1330 139 295 415 644 534 303 1076 2017 707 258	11778 12695 11771 36924 22740 55674 15239 31224 \$7368 11352 49409 87540 46351 27992 12022 10282 12512 20935 16503 17743 61537 59492 32425 8584	1291 72 391 578 418 38 148 515 594 * 18 813 8 1 813 8 1 813 166 580 565 1118 1515 877 53 72 38 18
	Pondicherry	363	3826	92	5257	10226	19309	576	5245	679	25233	. 45

^{*} The figure of number of eligible sample constructions doubtful.

SOURCE OF FINANCE

Z.9.3 Table (8): gives average amount of finance per construction by source for/state/U.T. The tables shows that 'other sources' constitution of loan finance for building construction. The all states.

Table (8): Average amount of finance per building construction by broad source of finance for each state/U.T.

srl.	state		averag	ge amount of	finance	
no.	, 5 oa 6e	borrowing from em-	porrowing		+ IIIaiice (KS	no.of
		ployer	from other sources	other sources	total	sample
(1)	(2)	(3)				construc
1.	Andhra Prades		(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
2.	Assam*	1099	4931	12940	19524	2027
3.	Bihar	1065	E010		Table 1	
4.	Gujarat	731	5019	13706	19788	391
5.	Haryana	955	23894	19949	44574	1243
6.	Himalchal Prac		2545	9180	12680	418
7.	Jammu & Kashmi	r 1153	3660	39400	43993	38
8.	Karnataka	1587	3955	19714	24822	148
9.	Kerala	284	10076 8226	13151	24814	1358
10.	Madhya Pradesh		3443	11750	20260	1017
11.	Maharashtra	7452	15685	9233	13131	1193
12.	Manipur	13750	1005)	71097	94234	1550
13.	Meghalaya	14449	5913	77470	91220	8
14.	Nagaland	4000	900	29909	50270	58
15.	Orissa	249	2295	27556	28356	33
16.	Punjab	479	2185	12518	15062	166
17.	Rajasthan	446	3024	13165	15829	580
18.	Tamil Nadu*	_	3024	10661	14131	565
19.	Uttar Pradesh	535	3412	-	-	37-1017
20.	West Bengal	1811		17028	20975	1515
21.	Chandigarh	12202	5723	12678	20213	877
22.	Delhi	6422	15533 16692	25908	53643	53
23.	Goa, Daman & Di		6204	47760	70874	72
24.	Mizoram	-	0204	28450	35191	59
25.	Pondicherry	798	2340	12007	12007	18
		193	2340	16705	19843	75

^{*} As some discrepancies were detected in the final table estimates are not included in this table.

- 2.9.4 The data on source of finance given above relate to a wide range of constructions from those completed a few years ago to those just started or not even started. They do not refer to any well defined reference period either. It will be more meaningful to have this information for constructions completed during the reference year, in which case this can also be related to the total construction cost.
- 2.10.1 The following two tables, Tables (9) and (10), have been compiled from the marginals of Table 7 state-wise table.

Table (9): Percentage distribution of completed new building constructions by cost of construction for each state/U.T.

srl.	35	ess than 5000	cost 5000- 25,000	of construct 25.000- 1 lakh	ion(Rs.) aboce 1 lakh	all	no.of sample construc -tions
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
. 1.	Andhra Pradesh		39.81	37.6	6 -	100.00	1110
2.	Assam	15.94	30.08	53.98	-	100.00	53
3.	Bihar	21.21	51.61	26.77	0.41	100.00	96
4.	Gujarat	38.03	34.91	27.00	5' -	100.00	733
5.	Haryana	14.92	63.75	21.33	-	100.00	187
6.	Himalchal Pr.	= .	12:40	87.60	-	100.00	9
7.	Jammu & Kashmin	·	40.09	44.02	5.34.	100.00	22
8.	Karnataka	18.77	27.68	53.55		100.00	228
9.	Kerala	17.90	27.51	54.59		100.00	301
10.	Madhya Pradesh	38.24	42.18	19.58	3 -	100.00	666
11.	Maharashtra	35.97	33.80	30.23		100.00	909
12.	Manipur	-	25'.00	75.00	-	100.00	4
13.	Meghalaya		30.26	62.07	7.67	100.00	26
14.	Nagaland	<u> </u>	32.35	67.65	-	100.00	21
15.	Orissa	9.84	42.61	36,07	5.74	100.00	48
16.	Punjab	7.14	53.52	34.70	1.60	100.00	167
17.	Rajasthan	23.85	49.37	23.91	1.65	100.00	154
18.	Tamilnadu	28.48	42.05	29.47		100.00	1032
19.	Uttar Pradesh	21.38	50.25	25.90	2.08	100.00	556
20.	West Bengal	9.27	22.37	62.73	3.25	100.00	168
21.	Chandigarh	8.80	5.46	57.30	28.44	100.00	
22.	Delhi	12.47	11.09	52.49	23.95	100.00	23 48
23.	Goa, Daman & Din	u -		100.00	-	100.00	
24.	Mizoram	60.00	12.50	27.50			1
25.	Pondicherry	-	_			100.00	9
							The state of

^{*} Includes not recorded cases also.

Table (10): Percentage distribution of completed new building constructions by plinth area for each state/u.t.

srl.		10 ss than		plinth	area (sq.	metres)		
no.	state/u.t.	20 man	20-50	50-100	100-250	250 & above	not recorded	all
7335	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
1.	Andhra Pradesh	4.63	12.90	15.85	12.59	1.94	52.09	100.00
2.	Assam	<u> -</u>	3.44	23.81	20.91	2.01	49.83	100.00
3.	Bihar	15.76	18.38	16.30	14.53	20.06	14.97	100.00
4.	Gujarat	10.53	12.23	17.92	6.12	5.34	47.86	100.00
5.	Haryana	11.14	39.22	14.62	18.41	4.08	12.53	100.00
6.	Himachal Pradesh	-	65.65	4.38	8.74	4.38	16.85	100.00
7-	Jammu & Kashmir	10.55	32.56	41.19	15.70	•	-	100.00
8.	Karnataka	28.26	18.56	27.24	19.35	3.71	2.88	100.00
9.	Kerala	9.31	26. 18	48.67	7.94	1.60	6.30	100.00
10.	Madhya Pradesh	6.33	11.68	12.59	10.85	4-97	53.58	100.00
11.	Maharashtra	15.39	13.46	13.06	12.46	9.23	36.40	100.00
12.	Manipur	-	-	-	-		100.00	100.00
13.	Moghalaya	4.05	3-61	15.72	12.56	40.63	23.43	100.00
14.	Naga 1 and	47.06	44.12	8.82	_			100.00
15.	Orissa	20.49	31.14	24-59	4.92	5.74	13.12	100.00
16.	Punjab	3.79	32.37	22.26	23.39	0.60	17.59	100.00
17.	Rajasthan	16.49	25.48	21.03	22.16	3.88	10.96	100.00
18.	Tamilnadu	8.61	21.76	17.72	9.52	4.72	38.12	100.00
19.	Uttar Pradesh	4.96	14.83	23.71	26.30	3.50	26.50	100.00
20.	West Bengal	26.69	22.54	7.71	3.45	5.76	33.85	100.00
21.	Chandigarh	33.76	36.79	29.45				100.00
22.	Delhi	9-46	11.14	20.14	31.44	7.27	20.55	100.00
23.	Goa, Daman & Diu	-		-	100.00	_	-0.99	100.00
24.	Mizoram	-	-	-		-	100.00	100.00
25.	Pondicherry	-		-		-		
333						*		

2.10.2 These two tables give the picture relating to roughly four years preceding the survey period of 35th round i.e. 1976-80. The tables are self explanatory. The high propartions of "not recorded" cases in Table (10) limit its utility.

CHAPTER: THREE CONCLUSIONS

- The main objective of the survey was to assess the utility of 3.1 municipal sanction lists as sampling frame for construction surveys. The results discussed in the previous chapter seem to indicate their unsuitability for this purpose, at least in the form in which they are maintained now. The main drawback of these lists is the absence of information regarding completion of work. If dependable information on date of completion was abailable, it would be possible to prepare a list of sanctioned buildings whose construction has either been completed sometime during the reference period or not yet completed. Such list then will cover all the units of the target population, though it may include some extraneous units (those on which construction work has not yet started and may never be). Of course, to prepare such a list one may have to run through the lists of the past many years; but the final list would include nearly all relevant units and not many extraneous ones.
- 3.2 Another difficulty of using them for sampling is that in many towns, sanction is required only for new buildings and not for additions, alterations and improvements. But this forms a significant part of total construction activity and hence cannot be ignored.
- 3.3 There is also the problem of smaller towns where there is as yet no system of sanction. This part of the urban sector will have to be covered along with the rural areas.
- Apart from all these, there is of course the serious problem of unauthorised constructions, which can never be netted through municipal sanctions. The proportion of unauthorised constructions to total constructions is not known and admittedly is difficult to know. (An attempt, however, has been made in the tabulation plan of Scheme A to obtain a dimensional idea of its incidence). However it is perhaps not negligible.
- 3.5 Thus it appears that the municipal sanction lists cannot serve as a good list frame for building construction surveys. However, they can still be used for identifying areas (wards, for example) of concentration of construction activity as done in the earlier survey on Investment and Financing of Building Construction in urban areas.

3.6 - Results of Scheme A can throw some light on how effective a general purpose sample of blocks is in netting units of the target population, in the urban areas. In the light of the experience gained from the present survey 3.7 as well as earlier NSS surveys on this subject, some proposals regarding the organisation of construction surveys in NSS may be put forward. A reasonably good feasible strategy for collecting data on building construction in the household sector seems to be as follows :-A comprehensive survey on investment and financing of building I construction may be carried out once in five years. While in the rural sector this may be integrated with a socio-economic round of NSS, in the urban sector this may be carried out in a special sample of towns as done earlier. In this survey, information on expenditure on construction during last year will be collected from all samples, and total expenditure since start as well as sources of total finance will be collected from samples which have been "completed" during the reference It may be desirable to have these two types of unit as separate sub-strata to ensure sufficient sample size for Tabulation may be done by more or less detailed classes of type of structure. In the inter-survey years, a count of building constructions: II. (a) on which some construction was done during last year and (b) completed during last year may be obtained through the listing schedule, say every alternate year. This count may also be taken with sufficient details with regard to type of structure, so that the averages obtained from the detailed bench mark survey can be applied separately for each of such class. 3.8 Such a scheme it would seem, will be able to satisfy the requirements of the three major users of data on building construction, without being unduly ambitious or expensive. The fiveyearly surveys will be able to generate data required for NBO on investment and financing of building construction. The annual or biennial surveys along with the ratios and averages obtained from the preceeding quinquennial survey will be able to provide data on yearly expenditure on capital formation in building construction in the household sector for use by the CSO. It can also provide direct estimates of annual addition to housing stock by type of structure as required by NBO. Planning Commission may also use these estimates in whatever manner they would like to use them.

Annexure

Distribution of sample towns and sanctions selected and surveyed by state/U.T.

srl.	state/U.T.	no.of sample towns		no.of sample sanc-	
7		selected	surveyed	selected	surveyed
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	Andhra Pradesh	52	50	1864	1762
2.	Assam	13	8	130	117
3.	Bihar -	41	27	664	592
4.	Gujarat	41	36	1492	1444
5.	Haryana	13	13	526	473
6.	Himachal Pradesh	5	4	62	48
7.	Jammu & Kashmir	7	7	187	185
8.	Karnataka	45	41	1283	1194
9.	Kerala Kerala	PLE SURVEY 22	22	843	806
10.	77 71 - 18 3	BRARY OF LAT	38	1373	1058
11.	Maharashtra	Acc. No	. 60	1898	1828
12.	Manipur	BALT. Pload & Al	1	11	
13.	Meghalaya	1 1 /2	1	- 60	11
14.	Nagaland	2	2	34	60
15.	Orissa	15	15		33
16.	Punjab	21	21	269	177
17.	Rajasthan	30	26	777	738
18.	Tamil Nadu	82	68	735	692
19.	Uttar Pradesh	72	61	2220	2139
20.	West Bengal	56	*	2049	1970
21.	Chandigarh	2	34	1471	1232
22.	Delhi		2	74	53
23.	Goa, Daman & Diu	1	1	100	77
24.	Mizoram	3	3	91	56
25.	Pondicherry	2	2	118	18
	1 onaronerry	3	3	60	59
0.5					
26.	all-India	644	546	18291	16822