0.0%

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

THIRTEENTH ROUND: SEPTEMBER 1957-MAY 1958

NUMBER 64

INDIAN VILLAGES
A STUDY OF SOME SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS





Issued by

The Cabinet Secretariat: Government of India

Printed by the Eka Press, Calcutta in 1962 and published by the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi-8

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

THIRTEENTH ROUND: SEPTEMBER 1957-MAY 1958

NUMBER 64

INDIAN VILLAGES
A STUDY OF SOME SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS



Issued by

The Cabinet Secretariat: Government of India

Printed by the Eka Press, Calcutta in 1962 and published by the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi-8

Note of Caution

Being the scanned copy of old NSS report, this document may suffer from following limitations -

- i. Poor Quality of the Scanned images.
- ii. Page(s) missing in between.
- iii. Improper sequencing/arrangement.

© INDIA, CABINET SECRETARIAT 1962

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The planning of the survey was done by the Indian Statistical Institute.

The collection of data was carried out by the Directorate of National Sample Survey, Cabinet Sccretariat, Government of India in all the States except West Bengal where it was done by the Indian Statistical Institute.

The processing of the data involving scrutiny of schedules, punching, machine tabulation and preparation of final tables was carried out in the NSS Section of the Army Statistical Organisation, New Delhi under the guidance of A. K. Das Gupta who was assisted by K. Prasad. The report, as drawn up by the former, was further revised in Calcutta by D. Pant.

CONTENTS

								PAGE
CHAPTER	ONE :	Introducti	on					1
	Two :	Summary 1	results					3
APPENDIX	I :	Statistical	tables					12
	п :	Facsimile o	f the Schee	dules of In	vestigation			33
			CHAP	ES IN THE				
TABLE 2.1:	the media	ge distribution an distance from	m each centr	θ .	* (**)			
2.2:	cation an	listance of the	ve centres	** *	* **			
2.3:	Percentag various o	ge distribution	of villages	by type of	road connecti	ng the villag	ge with	4
2.4:		ge distribution rest centres		by condition o	of road connec	eting the villa	ige with	5
2.5:		ge of villages b		ormation serv	rices available	within the vi	illage	5
2.6:	Percentag	ge of villages , twelfth and th	reporting d	ifferent types	of informat	ion facilities	during	0
2.7:	Percenta	ge distribution alf-samples	of education	al institutions	in rural India	by type of in	stitution	6
2.8:	Percenta	ge distribution			ns by categor	y and by typ	ре	7
2.9:	Percenta	ge distribution	of education	al institution	s by category	and by type	***	7
2.10:	Percents	ge distribution	of education	al institution	s by category	and by type		8.
2.11:	Porcents	ge distribution	of medical is	nstitutions by	type and by	half-samples		
2.12:	Average	number of out	door patient	s attending d	ifferent types	of medical ins	stitutions	
2 13 :	Dercents	ge distribution	of medical i	nstitutions by	r category and	by type	3	
2.14:	Dorognt	age distribution	of medical p	eractitioners b	y category and	d by type of n	astitution	n 10
0.15	Donaont	are distribution	of practisin	g allopaths by	category and	by type of n	istitutio	11
2.16	Percent	age distribution	n of practisi	ing non-allope	aths by categ	ory and by	type of	. 11

THE NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY

THIRTEENTH ROUND : SEPTEMBER 1957-MAY 1958

NUMBER 64

INDIAN VILLAGES A STUDY OF SOME SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC ASPECTS

This report entitled 'Indian Villages—A study of some Social and Economic Aspects, Thirteenth Round: September 1957—May 1958' was prepared by the Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta and is being published in the form in which it was submitted to the Government of India and the Government are not responsible for the views contained therein.*

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. Some basic facts about the Indian villages collected by the National Sample Survey in the 10th, 11th and 12th rounds (December 1955 to August 1957) have already been published in NSS Report Number 45. This is the second report on the same subject and presents the results of the survey conducted during the 13th round of the NSS (September 1957 to May 1958). Information was collected on the distance of the village from the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres and the extent of educational and medical facilities available within the village itself. The main object of the survey was to get a broad idea about the position of the villages in regard to certain basic amenities of life.
- 1.2. The geographical coverage of the survey was the whole of rural India excluding the Andaman and Nicobar Islands.
- 1.3. The sample design which was practically the same as that of the 11th round consisted of a stratified uni-stage design with villages as the sample units. In fact, the very same villages that were sampled in the 11th round were resurveyed.
- 1.4. The allocation of the sample villages to the strata was in proportion to their rural population. The villages were selected from each stratum with probability proportional to population and with replacement. The number of strata

^{*} The draft Report (No. D. 84) was submitted to the Government of India in June 1961.

National Sample Survey

was 72 and the total sample size was 1848 villages. In each stratum the sample was drawn in the form of two independent and interpenetrating sub-samples which were surveyed by two different sets of investigators.

- 1.5. The number of villages actually surveyed was 1829.
- 1.6. The estimates for the different characteristics have been obtained by weighting the sample values with proper weights which take into account the probability of selecting the villages.
- 1.7. The data were collected by the interview method. Particulars regarding distance of the village from the nearest important education, health, administrative and communication centres, etc. and the condition of the connecting roads were obtained from the village headman or in his absence, from some knowledgeable persons. Information regarding educational and medical institutions, students, teachers and medical practitioners in the village was obtained from the teachers and medical practitioners associated with the respective institutions.
- 1.8. The results available from the survey are presented in the subsequent chapters along with necessary explanations. The figures given are mostly percentage distributions or ratios. In view of the inadequacy of the sample size no attempt has been made to present aggregate estimates. State-wise breakdown of tables has also been avoided.
 - 1.9. The statistical tables are given in Appendix I.
 - 1.10. A facsimile of the schedule of inquiry is also appended to this note.

CHAPTER TWO

SUMMARY RESULTS

2.1. Table (2.1) shows the distribution of villages according to their distance from some nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres. Seven centres have been analysed here, namely high school, hospital, veterinary hospital, telegraph office, urban area, police station and wholesale market. The median distance for each of these centres has been calculated and shown in the last row. As has been stated earlier the distance figures have been obtained from the village headman or some equally knowledgeable person in the village expected to know the approximate distances fairly accurately. The corresponding half-sample estimates presented in Appendix Table (1) show fairly close agreement. It will be

TABLE (2.1): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM SOME NEAREST CENTRES AND THE MEDIAN DISTANCE FROM EACH CENTRE

number of sample villages = 1829

all India

			pe	rcentage of v	illages from	nearest		
listan	ce (in miles)1	high school	hospital	veteri- nary hospital	tele- graph office	urban area	police station	whole- sale market
-	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1.	0	3.46	4.84	0.45	2.38	-	3.33	1.06
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.33	0.25	0.07	0.22	0.26	0.20	0.18
3.	1 — 1	0.63	0.67	0.31	0.31	0.22	0.49	0.34
		5.15	3.78	2.18	2.69	1.19	2.84	1.91
4.	1-2 $2-3$	8.72	8.06	5.43	5.85	3.05	7.20	4.59
5.	3-5	18.99	19.08	14.04	14.90	9.33	19.10	12.25
6.	5—10	27.84	34.24	32.38	31.23	25.04	41.31	28.54
7.	10-20	21.47	20.32	28.62	27.52	32.62	20.88	28.64
8.	20 and over	11.46	8.21	11.88	14.10	25.36	3.86	18.54
9.	not available	1.95	0.55	4.64	0.80	2.93	0.79	3.95
-		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
	total median distance		6.95	9.25	8.79	13.34	7.04	13.9

¹ The distance groups $0-\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}-1$, etc. stand for 0-less than $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ -less than 1 and so on.

seen from Table (2.1) that 50 per cent of all the villages lay within a distance of 7.28 miles from the nearest high school, 6.95 miles from the nearest hospital, 8.79 miles from the nearest telegraph office, 7.04 miles from the nearest police station.

National Sample Survey

*2.2. The median distance from some nearest important centres for the three rounds, namely eleventh, twelfth and thirteenth has been shown in Table (2.2).

TABLE (2.2) MEDIAN DISTANCE OF THE VILLAGE FROM SOME NEAREST EDUCATION, HEALTH, COMMUNI-CATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRES

centre	median	median distance (in miles)				
- Contro	11th round	12th round	13th round			
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
1. high school	7.34	6.77	7.28			
2. hospital	6.45	6.29	6.95			
3. telegraph office	8.62	8.21	8.79			
4. police station	6.94	E TOWN	7.04			

2.3. Information regarding the type and condition of the roads that linked the villages with the various centres was also collected along with the data on distance. These roads were not necessarily of uniform type and condition. As a matter of fact, they consisted of different portions representing different types, e.g. foot-track, unmetalled, etc. and conditions, e.g. all weather, fair weather, etc. The approximate length by each type-condition was ascertained and the type-condition which covered the largest distance was mentioned. The percentage distribution of villages by type and condition of road has been shown in Tables (2.3) and (2.4) respectively. It may be noted that the types—foot-track and unmetalled—are mutually exclusive in character and the same is true for the conditions—fair weather, all weather. Besides these, a large number of villages are connected with the various centres by mixed type of roads. These consist of segments representing a variety of conditions. There are also river and canal links. The percentage of all such villages has been shown under the category "others".

• TABLE (2.3) :PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY TYPE OF ROAD CONNECTING
THE VILLAGE WITH VARIOUS CENTRES

		- Indiana	percentage	of village	s from near	est	
type of road	high school	hospi- tal	veteri- nary hospital	tele- graph office	urban area	police station	whole- sale marke
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. within village	3.46	4.84	0.45	2.38		3.33	1.06
2. foot-track	20.48	20.35	16.60	16.22	12.29	22.71	-
3. unmetalled	24.57	26.16	23.16	24.19	18.64	27.85	14.65
4. others	49.54	48.10	55.15	56.41	66.14		21.25
5. not available	1.95	0.55	4.64	0.80	2.93	45.32	59.09
3. total	100.00	100.00		100.00	100.00	100.00	3.95

TABLE (2.4): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY CONDITION OF ROAD CONNECTING THE VILLAGE WITH SOME NEAREST CENTRES

			percentage o	of villages	from neares	it	
condition of road	high school	hospi- tal	veteri- nary hospital	tele- graph office	urban area	police station	whole- sale marke
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1. within village	3.46	4.84	0.45	2.38	-	3.33	1.06
2. fair weather	14.42	15.39	13.70	13.16	10.56	16.90	12.29
3. all weather	35.13	36.42	31.38	31.51	25.02	37.97	27.28
4. others	45.04	42.80	49.83	52.15	61.49	41.01	55.42
5. not available	1.95	0.55	4.64	0.80	2,93	0.79	3.95
6. total "	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

- 2.4. It may be mentioned here that information about the type and condition of connecting links was not collected in respect of centres already lying within the village.
- 2.5. Information on the extent of availability of services like radio, newspaper and library in the villages was collected in this round also as in the previous rounds. This information is analysed in Table (2.5) which gives the percentage of villages reporting each of the above services by half-samples.

*TABLE (2.5): PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES BY TYPE OF INFORMATION SERVICES AVAILABLE WITHIN THE VILLAGE

		pero	entage of villag	es
	type of services	balf-sample	half-sample 2	pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	radio	14.83	15.00	14.91
2.	daily newspaper	15.96	13.35	14.66
3.	weekly newspaper	13.66	13.32	13.49
4.	public library	9.84	8.04	8.94

- 2.6. It will be observed from the above table that radios and newspapers were found roughly in one village out of every seven villages whereas library facilities existed in roughly one village out of every eleven villages.
- 2.7. In Table (2.6) the corresponding figures for the eleventh and twelfth rounds have been presented along with the figures for the thirteenth round by way of comparison.

National Sample Survey

TABLE (2.6): PERCENTAGE OF VILLAGES REPORTING DIFFERENT TYPES OF INFORMATION FACILITIES DURING ELEVENTH, TWELFTH AND THIRTEENTH ROUNDS

all India (rural)

		perce	ntage of villa	ges
	type of service	eleventh round	twelfth round	thirteenth round
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	radio	11.65	14.25	14.91
2.	daily newspaper	10.44	10.15	14.66
3.	weekly newspaper	19.44	19.17	13.49
4.	public library	7.15	6.89	8.94

- 2.8. It is interesting to note that the number of villages reporting radio facility has increased from 11.65 per cent in the eleventh round to 14.91 per cent in the thirteenth round. The number of villages having public libraries has also registered an increase of 1.79 per cent between the eleventh and thirteenth rounds. The percentages in respect of newspapers for the eleventh and twelfth rounds are not directly comparable with the corresponding figures for the thirteenth round since the thirteenth round information was collected separately for the daily and weekly newspapers.
- 2.9. The distribution pattern of all the educational institutions in rural India-by type of institution is given in Table (2.7).

TABLE (2.7): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCA-TIONAL INSTITUTIONS IN RURAL INDIA BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION AND BY HALF-SAMPLES

100	ype of institution	percentage of institutions					
		half-sample	half-sample	pooled			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
1.	high school	2,31	3.53	2.88			
2.	middle school	23.56	21.31	22.50			
3.	primary school	65.91	68.62	67.19			
4.	other institutions	8.22	6.54	7.43			
5.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00			

2.10. The primary schools and middle schools taken together accounted for nearly 90 per cent of the total number of educational institutions in rural India of which primary schools alone constituted 67 per cent of the total number of educational institutions.

Indian Villages

2.11. Educational institutions may be run by government, by private bodies with government aid or soley by private bodies. What percentage of the institutions falls under each of the above categories is shown in Table (2.8) for each type of institution and for all the educational institutions taken together.

TABLE (2.8): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS BY CATEGORY AND BY TYPE

all India (rural)

		53	pe of instituti	OR	
category	high school	middle school	primary school	other institu- tions	all institu- tions
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
l. government	28.25	70.40	58.11	27.08	57.71
2. aided	54.56	24.63	29.94	8.71	27.88
3. private	15.54	4.33	8.46	59.94	11.56
4. not known	1.65	0.64	3.49	4.27	2.85
5, total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

2.12. Nearly 58 per cent of all the educational institutions in rural India were run by government. The proportion of government institutions was the largest in the case of middle schools, being roughly 70 per cent. Primary schools came next in order with nearly 58 per cent government schools. The percentage of aided institutions was the highest for high schools, being approximately 55 per cent.

2.13. The proportion of educational institutions recognised by government and those not so recognised is shown in Table (2.9) for each type of institution and for all institutions taken together.

TABLE (2.9): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTION BY CATEGORY AND BY TYPE

all India (rural)

		531	pe of institution	on	
category	high school	middle school	primary achool	other institu- tions	all institu- tions
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1. recognised	90.49	95.63	89.25	33.30	86.58
2. unrecognised	6.63	3.70	9.42	63.20	12.05
3. not known	2.88	0.61	1.33	3.50	1.37
4. total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

National Sample Survey

- 2.14. Nearly 87 per cent of all the institutions were recognised by government. The maximum number of recognised institutions was to be found among middle schools. Among 'other institutions' the percentage of recognised schools was the lowest. It may be recalled that the percentage of government institutions was the highest for middle schools and lowest for 'other institutions'. Government run institutions are naturally all recognised institutions.
- 2.15. In Table (2.10) the percentage of educational institutions meant for boys only, for girls only and for both boys and girls have been given for each type of institution and for all institutions.

.TABLE (2.10): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS BY CATEGORY AND BY TYPE

all India (rural)

			ty	pe of institution	on	-
	eategory	high school	middle school	primary school	other institu- tions	all institu- tions
Me	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1.	for boys only	48.56	9.29	8.01	21.46	10.46
2.	for girls only	11.27	4.56	3.75	23.73	5.64
3.	for both boys and girls	38.81	85.44	87.51	52.49	83.04
4.	. not known	1.36	0.71	0.73	2.32	0.86
5.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00

- 2.16. For all the educational institutions taken together the number of institutions meant for girls only was roughly half the number of institutions meant for boys only. Mixed type of institutions accounted for 83 per cent of the total number of educational institutions of all types. It will be seen that co-education was freely allowed in primary and middle schools where more than 80 per cent of the schools were of a mixed type. The proportion of mixed type of institutions was the lowest in the case of high schools where the students being relatively senior in age co-education among them was perhaps not considered desirable according to conservative rural standards. The proportion of schools meant for girls only was also much higher in the case of high schools as compared with the primary and middle schools. Nearly half the number of all high schools were meant for boys only.
- 2.17. The number of different types of medical institutions available in rural India expressed as a percentage of the total number of medical institutions is given in Table (2.11) by half-samples.

Indian Villages

TABLE (2.11): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS BY TYPE AND BY HALF-SAMPLES

all India (rural)

- 3		percent	tage of institut	ions	
	type of institution —	half-sample	half-sample	pooled	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
1.	hospital	2.88	5.43	3.97	
2.	dispensary	20.92	21.96	21.36	
3.	private chamber	69.38	65.18	67.59	
4.	veterinary hospital	2.38	3.27	2.76	
5.	other medical institution	ns 4.44	4.16	4.32	
6.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	

- 2.18. Private chambers constituted nearly 68 per cent of all the medical institutions in the villages. Next in importance were the dispensaries. Hospitals constituted only 5 per cent of all the medical institutions.
- 2.19. Although private chambers accounted for the largest number of medical institutions their contribution to medical welfare was not proportionately large as will be revealed by Table (2.12). In this table the average number of patients attending daily per institution has been shown for each type of medical institution and by half-samples. A private chamber attended to only about 5 patients per day whereas a hospital entertained nearly 54 patients daily; a dispensary attended to roughly 18 patients every day.

TABLE (2.12): AVERAGE NUMBER OF OUT-DOOR PATIENTS
ATTENDING DIFFERENT TYPES OF MEDICAL
INSTITUTIONS DAILY

all India (rural)

	4	number o	of out-door patitution	ents
	type of institution	half-sample	half-sample	pooled
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	hospital	72.04	41.02	53.85
2.	dispensary	16.74	18.66	17.58
3.	private chamber	5.02	6.03	5.44
4.	veterinary hospital	15.29	10.68	12.95
5.	other institutions	7.63	5.30	6.67
6.	all institutions	9.76	10.83	10.22

2.20. Like educational institutions medical institutions may also be managed by government, by private bodies with government assistance and by private bodies solely. This information in regard to each type of institution and for all types combined has been analysed in Table (2.13).

National Sample Survey

. TABLE (2.13): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MEDICAL INSTITUTIONS BY CATEGORY AND BY TYPE

all India (rural)

				type of in	stitution		
	category	hospi- tal	dispen- sary	private - chamber	veteri- nary hospital	other hospi- tal	all institu- tions
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1.	government	58.24	39.83	0.00	81.15	16.33	13.77
2.	aided	9.41	9.57	0.00	15.77	8.35	3.21
3.	private	32.35	50.60	100.00	3.08	75.32	83.02
4.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

2.21. The largest number of government-run institutions were found among veterinary hospitals with 81 per cent government institutions and roughly 16 per cent aided institutions. In the case of medical institutions other than veterinary hospitals, the proportion of government institutions was the largest among hospitals with nearly 58 per cent government hospitals. Dispensaries came next in order with roughly 40 per cent government-managed dispensaries.

2.22. The following tables give us some information regarding medical practitioners attached to the different types of medical institutions in rural areas. Table (2.14) gives the proportion of allopaths and non-allopaths for each type of institution.

TABLE (2.14): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS BY CATEGORY
AND BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION

all India (rural)

				type of in	stitutions		
category of medical practitioner		hospital	dispensary	private chamber	veteri- nary hospital	other hospital	all institu- tions
11	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
١,	allopaths	63.26	- 54:45	17:47	56.46	11.88	30.58
2.	non-allopaths	36.74	45:55	82.53	43.54	88.12	69.42
3.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

2.23. Out of the total number of doctors in rural areas only slightly less than one-third belonged to the allopathic school of medicine; the rest fell under the category of non-allopaths, namely homeopaths, hakims, vaids, etc. For the three important types of medical institutions, namely hospital, dispensary and private chamber the proportion of allopaths was the highest in the case of hospitals and lowest for private chambers, the respective percentages being roughly 58 and 40. About 54 per cent of the doctors attached to dispensaries were allopaths.

Indian Villages

2.24. Among the doctors practising allopathy there may be medical graduates, licentiates and ordinary compounders. In Table (2.15) the distribution of practising allopaths has been shown according to the category of practitioner and for each type of medical institution.

TABLE (2.15): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF PRACTISING ALLOPATHS BY CATEGORY AND BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION

all India (rural)

			type of in	stitution		- 1 - 1
category of allopaths	hospital	dispensary	private chamber	veteri- nary hospital	other institu- tions	all institu tions
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	31.31	18.42	7.08	15.50	22.19	16.59
	24.12	37.31	74.65	23.34	29.80	46.95
2. licentiates 3. compounders	44.57	44.27	18.27	60.16	48.01	36.46
4. total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

- 2.25. Hospitals had the largest number of medical graduates accounting for slightly less than one-third of the total number of allopath doctors; dispensaries came next in order with nearly 18 per cent medical graduates among allopath doctors. The proportion of medical graduates was the lowest in the case of private chambers, being only 7 per cent. Licentiates constituted the largest number of allopaths attached to private chambers accounting for nearly 75 per cent of all the allopaths.
- 2.26. Non-allopaths may belong to the homeopathic, ayurvedic or unani schools of medicine. There may be others who may not pertain to any of the above schools and may have their own peculiar ways of treatment, etc. The distribution of non-allopaths by each of these categories for each type of institution is given in Table (2.16).
- 2.27. Ayurvedic physicians accounted for roughly 46 per cent of all the nonallopaths in rural areas, 19 per cent of all the non-allopaths were homeopaths and the number of hakims was only 13 per cent of the total.

TABLE (2.16): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF PRACTISING NON-ALLOPATHS BY CATE-GORY AND BY TYPE OF INSTITUTION

all India (rural)

		ty	vpe of institut	ion			
category of non-allopaths	hospital	dispensary	private chamber	veteri- nary hospital	other institu- tions	all institu- tions	
	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
(1)	(2)			0.00	12.49	19.49	
. homeopaths	19.48	17.88	21.07	3.61	26.86	45.68	
ayurvedic physician	8.37	53.59	49.45	0.00	12.72	13.19	
3. hakims	0.00	7.67	15.56	96.39	47.93	21.64	
t. others	72.15	20.86	13.92	The second second	- 11	100.00	
E. OPHOAD	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

APPENDIX I

LIST OF TABLES

			PAGE
TABLE	1:	Percentage distribution of villages by distance from the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres	13
	.2:	Cumulative percentage distribution of villages by distance from the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres	15
	3 :	Percentage distribution of villages by type of road connecting the village with the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres	17
	4 :	Percentage distribution of villages by distance from the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres and by type of connecting road	18
	5	Percentage distribution of villages by condition of road connecting the village with the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres	25
	6	: Percentage distribution of villages by distance from the nearest education, health, communication and administrative centres and by condition of connecting road	26

Indian Villages

TABLE (1): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATION, HEALTH, COMMUNICATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRES

						perce	entage of vil	lages from ne	sarest	11.11			
	distance (in miles)	1	high schoo	d .	MARKE .	hospital		vete	erinary ho	spital	te	legraph off	ice
	(m mies)	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	poole
III	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0	3.76	3.17	3.46	4.44	5.24	4.84	0.41	0.51	0.45	2.23	2.53	2.38
2.	0 - 1	0.21	0.46	0.33	0.20	0.30	0.25	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.19	0.24	0.22
3.	1-1	0.73	0.54	0.63	0.77	0.58	0.67	0.41	0.22	0.31	0.36	0.27	0.31
4.	1 - 2	5.30	5.00	5.15	4.01	3.54	3.78	2.39	1.97	2.18	2.76	2.62	2.69
5.	2 - 3	8.78	8.67	8.72	8.04	8.06	8.06	5.23	5.64	5.43	5.38	6.31	5.85
6.	3 - 5	19.02	18.93	18.99	18.92	19.24	19.08	14.83	13.25	14.04	14.99	14.81	14.90
7.	5-10	28.03	27.66	27.84	35.00	33.48	34.24	33.41	31.36	32.38	31.66	30.80	31.23
8.	10-20	20.48	22.45	21.47	20.74	19.92	20.32	27.17	30.07	28.62	27.62	27.43	27.52
9.	20 and over	11.87	11.05	11.46	7.05	9.37	8.21	11.24	12.50	11.88	13.83	14.37	14.10
10.	not available	1.82	2.07	1.95	0.83	0.27	0.55	4.86	4.38	4.64	0.98	0.62	0.80
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE (1) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTRIBUTION FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATION, HEALTH, COMMUNICATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRES

all India

National Sample Survey

100		H. H.			percentage o	of villages from	n the nearest			
	distance (in miles)	1231 V	urban area	E III		police statio	n	7	wholesale man	ket
*		half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample	pooled
- 25	(0)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21;
1.	0		-	_	2.93	3.57	3.33	1.65	0.80	1.06
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.21	0.30	0.26	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.07	0.28	0.18
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	0.20	0.25	0.22	0.58	0.40	0.49	0.42	0.26	0.34
-	18-407		10110	THE STATE OF STREET	350		10			
4.	1 - 2	1.19	1.20	1.19	3.03	2.65	2.84	2.00	1.78	1.91
5.	2 - 3	3.01	3.08	3.05	7.15	7.25	7.20	4.78	4.44	4.59
6.	3 - 5	9.48	9.18	9.33	18.80	19.40	19.10	12.82	11.70	12.25
7.	5-10	25.73	24.34	25.04	42.27	40.36	41.31	29.02	28.03	28.54
8.	10-20	32.89	32.36	32.62	20.63	21.13	20.88	28.26	29.03	28.64
9.	20 and over	24.15	26.56	25.36	3.99	3.73	3.86	18.03	19.05	18.54
10.	not available	3.14	2.73	2.93	0.44	1.29	0.79	2.95	4.63	3.95
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE (2): CUMULATIVE PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST EDUCATION, HEALTH, COMMUNICATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE CENTRES

10	distance —	hi	gh school	W 11 10		hospital		vete	erinary ho	spital	tele	egraph offic	ce
	(in miles)	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
- 10	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0	3.76	3.17	3.46	4.44	5.24	4.84	0.41	0.51	0.45	2.23	2.53	2.38
2.	0-1	3.97	3.63	3.79	4.64	5.54	5.09	0.46	0.61	0.52	2,42	2.77	2.60
3.	1-1	4.70	4.17	4.42	5.41	6.12	5.76	0.87	0.83	0.83	2.78	3.04	2.91
a.	1-2	10.00	9.17	9.57	9.42	9.66	9.54	3.26	2.80	3.01	5.54	5.66	5.60
5.	2 - 3	18.78	17.84	18.29	17.46	17,72	17.60	8.49	8.44	8.44	10.92	11.97	11.45
6.	3 - 5	37.80	36.77	37.28	36.38	36.96	36.68	23.32	21.69	22.48	25.91	26.78	26.35
7.	5-10	65.83	64.43	65.12	71.38	70.44	70.92	56.73	53.05	54.86	57.57	57.58	57.58
8		86.31	86.88	86.59	92.12	90.36	91.24	83.90	83.12	83.48	85.19	85.01	85.10
9		98.18	97.93	98.05	99.17	99.73	99.45	95.14	95.62	95.36	99.02	99.38	99.20
10		100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

National Sample Survey

	distance	TAIL TO SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF	urban area	-1981		police station		wholesale market		
	(in miles)	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
-	(0)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
1.	0		_		2.93	3.57	3.33	1.65	0.80	1.06
2.	0 - 1	0.21	0.30	0.26	3.11	3.79	3.53	1.72	1.08	1,24
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	0.41	0.55	0.48	3.69	4.19	4.02	2.14	1.34	1.58
4.	1-2	1.60	1.75	1.67	6.72	6.84	6.86	4.14	3.12	3.49
5.	2 - 3	4.61	4.83	4.72	13.87	14.09	14.06	8.92	7.56	8.08
6.	3 - 5	14.09	14.01	14.05	32.67	33.49	33.16	21.74	19.26	20.33
7.	5-10	39.82	38.35	39.09	74.94	73.85	74.47	50.76	47.29	48.87
8.	10-20	72.71	70.71	71.71	95.57	94.98	95.35	79.02	76.32	77.51
9.	20 and over	96.86	97.27	97.07	99.56	98.71	99.21	97.05	95.37	96.05
0.	not available	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

17

							from neares		nika1	talamanh 200		
	h	igh school		hospital			veterinary hospital			telegraph office		
type of road	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- pooled 1	half- sample 2	pooled
12	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
(0)	3.76	3.17	3.46	4.44	5.24	4.84	0.41	0.51	0.45	2.23	2.53	2.38
within village foot-track unmetalled other conditions	19.33 23.58 51.51	21.62 25.56 47.58	20.48 24.57 49.54 1.95	20.08 25.35 49.30 0.83	20.61 26.97 46.91 0.27	20.35 26.16 48.10 0.55	15.91 22.06 56.76 4.86	17.30 24.26 53.55 4.38	16.60 23.16 55.15 4.64	14.46 23.40 58.93 0.98	17.98 24.99 53.88 0.62	16.22 24.19 56.41 0.80
o. not available	1.82	2.07	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

				percentage of	villages from	nearest		- 10	
		urban area		pol	lice station	wholesale market			
type of road	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17).	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
(0)	(10)			2.93	3.57	3.33	1.65	0.80	1.06
1. within village	+++ == -	1		21.47	23.95	22.71	13.92	15.38	14.65
2. foot-track	11.43	13.15	12.29	27.19	28.51	27.85	20.25	22.25	21.25
3. unmetalled	17.95	19.32	18.64	47.97	42.68	45.32	61.23	56.94	59.09
4. other conditions	67.48	64.80	66.14	0.44	1.29	0.79	2.95	4.63	3.95
5. not available	3.14	2.73	2.93	0.44				***	
6. total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

TABLE (4): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST HIGH SCHOOL AND BY TYPE OF CONNECTING BOAD

						12	rpe of connec	eting road				total	
			oot-track			unmetallec	1		others				
	distance (in miles)	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
		1	2			100	16)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)			-	3.76	3.17	3.46
1.	0*	-	-				-		0.10	0.08	0.21	0.46	0.33
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.51	0.68	0.60	0.39	1.03	0.68	0.07			0.73	0.54	0.63
3.	½ -1	1.09	0.82	0.95	0.68	0439	0.53	0.69	0.56	0.63	0.10		
								9 91	2.76	3.04	5.30	5.00	5.1
ł.	1-2	8.91	7.44	8.13	7.94	8.13	8.04	3.31		6.11	8.78	8.67	8.7
5.	2-3	15.01	13.00	13.95	12.66	10.52	11.55	5.61	6.66		19.02	18.93	18.9
). 3.	3-5	23.20	25.50	24.42	25.93	24.96	25.42	16.35	14.79	15.60	19.02	13100	
							20 02	28.80	30.18	29.47	28.03	27.66	27.8
7.	5-10	28.40	27.03	27.68	32.69	29.15	30.85		26.92	26.68	20.48	22.45	21.4
8.	10-20	16.62	17.10	16.87	15.47	23.26	19.52	26.45		18.39	11.87	11.05	11.4
9.	20 and over	6.26	8.43	7.40	4.33	2.56	3.41	18.72	18.03	18.39	1.82	2.07	1.9
	not available†	-	A. B 1	-	-	-	-	-			100.00	100.00	100.0
1.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00		

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

 $[\]dagger$ Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

THE.							type of con	meeting road					
	distance		foot-track			unmetalle	1		others		19.00	total	
	(in miles)	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*	_	-		-		-	-	-	11=10	4.46	5.24	4.84
2.	0 - 1	0.52	0.63	0.58	0.29	0.27	0.28	0.05	0.21	0.15	0,20	0.30	0.25
3.	1-1	1.66	0.43	1.04	0.80	0.65	0.72	0.47	0.66	0.56	0.77	0.58	0.67
4.	1 - 2	7.02	4.62	5.79	5.49	5.36	5.42	2.45	2.46	2.45	4.01	3.54	3.78
5.	2 - 3	11.71	11.46	11.59	12.44	11.52	11.97	5.13	5.50	5.32	8.04	8.06	8.06
6.	3 - 5	20.30	26.53	23,46	25.54	24.21	24.85	19.97	15.44	16.48	18.92	19.24	19.08
7.	5-10	36.36	33.50	34.91	38.39	37.06	37.71	36.46	35.37	35.94	35.00	33.48	34.24
8.	10-20	16.73	15.76	16.24	13.69	18.06	15.94	28.21	25.15	26.42	20.74	19.92	20.32
9.	20 and over	5.70	7.07	6.39	3.36	2.87	3.11	10.26	15.21	12.68	7.05	9.37	8.21
10.	not available†	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	0.83	0.27	0.55
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

							type of con	necting road				-	17.5
	distance		foot-track		1	unmetallec	I.		others	- Total		total	
	(in miles)	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(11)	(12)
1.	- 0*		<u> </u>	1	-	-	8-		-	-	0.41	0.51	0, 45
2.	0 - 1	_	-	144	12.0	0.30	0.16	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.07
3.	1-1	1.22	-	0.59	0.33	-	0.16	0.26	0.41	0.33	0.41	0.22	0.31
4.	1 – 2	4.04	1.83	2.89	2.91	2.86	2.88	1.95	1.80	1.88	2.39	1.97	2.18
5.	2 - 3	9.08	7.29	8.15	8.02	8.96	8.57	3.55	4.11	3.82	5.23	5.64	5.43
6.	3 - 5	19.15	20.30	16.55	18.22	18,26	18.23	13.68	9.91	11.85	14.83	13.25	14.04
7.	5-10	34.26	36.12	38.43	42.19	36.44	39.15	32.85	30.38	31.66	33.41	31.36	32.38
8.	10-20	22.12	23.54	22.85	21.60	28.37	25.14	33.27	35.69	34.44	27.17	30.07	28.62
9.	20 and over	10.13	10.92	10.54	6.73	4.81	5.71	14.35	17.66	15.96	11.24	12.50	11.88
10.	not available†				-	-	-	-		*	4.86	4.38	4.64
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

TABLE (4) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST TELEGRAPH OFFICE AND BY TYPE OF CONNECTING ROAD

						t	pe of connec	eting road		-			
-	distance	10	foot-track	The same	(disc)	unmetallec	ı		others	01412	2 60 31	total	- 40
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(12)	(12)
1.	0*	-	12		T.		14-1		::	_	2.23	2.53	2.38
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.22	0.54	0.40	0.48	0.41	0.44	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.19	0.24	0.22
3.	1-1	0.68	0.23	0.43	0.87	0.55	0.71	0.09	0.16	0.13	0.36	0.27	0.31
			3.0										
4.	1 - 2	5.26	2.95	3.98	3.46	3.69	3.58	2.02	2.16	2.08	2.76	2.62	2.69
5.	2 - 3	5.06	10.32	7.98	8.49	8.67	8.58	4.52	4.25	4.39	5.38	6,31	5.85
6.	3 - 5	19.37	20.27	19.87	18.54	20.17	19.38	13.33	11.37	12.39	14.99	14.81	14.90
7.	5-10	35.83	35.56	35.68	39.05	32.98	35.92	29.43	30.01	29.71	31.66	30.80	31.23
8.	10-20	25.27	20.88	22.83	21.71	28.48	25.20	32.04	30.73	31.41	27.62	27.43	27.52
9.	20 and over	8.31	9.25	8.83	7.40	5.05	6.19	18.49	21.25	19.81	13.83	14.37	14.10
10.	not available†		-	-	-	-	-		-		0.98	0.62	0.80
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

						11-11	type of conr	secting road					THE REAL PROPERTY.
	distance		foot-track		1	nmetalled	i I		others			total	
	(in miles) —	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
	0*	-		-	_	-	-		-	-	-		-
1,			0.56	0.30	0.51	0.83	0.68	0.18	0.11	0.15	0.21	0.30	0.26
2.	0-1	0.86	0.31	0.56	0.13	0.38	0.26	0.11	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.25	0.22
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	0.00										III THE	
4.	1-2	1.49	1.57	1.53	1.96	1.63	1.79	0.99	1.04	1.01	1.19	1.20	1.19
	2 – 3	4.26	4.37	4.32	4.85	5.35	5.11	2.45	2.27	2.36	3.01	3.08	3.03
5.	3 - 5		15.43	15.32	14.39	12,24	13.28	7.64	7.39	7.52	9.48	9.18	9.3
0.	3 - 0		111		27 10	20 00	31.64	23.91	23.20	23.56	25.73	24.34	25.0
7.	5-10	28.27	29.56	28.96	35.48	28.06			31.89	33.94	32.89	32.36	32.6
8.	10-20	30.95	30.18	30.54	28.54	39.98	34.46	35.90			24.51	26.56	25.3
9.	20 and over	18.99	18.02	18.47	14.14	11.53	12.78	28.82	33.89	31.30			
10.	not available†			_	_	-	-	9 -	-	_	3.14	2.73	2.9
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.0

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total,

						co	ndition of co	onnecting roa	d				
	distance -	fe	air weather		al	l weather	-		others	0 118	31	total	
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled
-	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*		-	1	-	-		_	-	-	4.44	5.24	4.84
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.52	0.44	0.48	0.28	0.57	0.42	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.20	0.30	0.25
3.	1-1	0.37	0.30	0.33	1.36	0.60	0.98	0.50	0.74	0.62	0.77	0.58	0.67
4.	1 – 2	1.25	3.20	2.31	7.20	5.74	6.48	2.69	2.25	2.48	4.01	3.54	3.78
5.	2 - 3	7.90	10.19	9.15	12.85	11.75	12.31	5.01	5.07	5.04	8.04	8.06	8.06
6.	3 - 5	26.02	22.44	24.06	21.21	24.99	23.08	17.01	15.52	16.28	18.92	19.24	19.08
7.	5-10	37.19	37.19	37.19	38.69	35.73	37.22	35.43	34.48	34.97	35.00	33.48	34.24
8.	10-20	19.13	20.04	19.64	14.74	15.67	15.20	28.76	26.17	27.50	20.74	19.92	20.32
9.	20 and over	7.62	6.20	6.84	3.67	4.95	4.31	10.55	15.71	13.06	7.05	9.37	8.21
10.	not available†		-	-		-	A N		-		0.83	0.27	0.55
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

183	District .	LEADY	Day 15	1990			type of con	necting roa	d				
	distance -		foot-track		t	nmetalle	d		others			total	
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
-	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*		-			3 (3) (12)			-		2.93	3.57	3.33
2.	0 - 1	0.23	-	0.11	<u>V</u>	0.56	0.29	0.26	0.14	0.21	0.18	0.22	0.20
3.	1 - 1	0.98	0.64	0.81	0.62	0.31	0.46	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.58	0.40	0.49
4.	1 - 2	3.94	2.14	2.99	3.41	3.82	3.62	2.63	2.44	2.54	3.03	2.65	2.84
5.	2 - 3	7.73	7.73	7.73	9.80	9.63	9.71	5.90	6.21	6.05	7.15	7.25	7.20
6.	3 - 5	20.78	23.75	22.35	20.91	22.50	21.73	18.03	17.90	17.59	18.80	19.40	19.10
7.	5-10	41.02	39.08	40.00	45.35	40.93	43.08	44.05	45.31	44.64	42.27	40.36	41.31
8.	10-20	21.56	21.32	21.42	16.67	21.23	19.01	23.92	23.38	23.66	20.63	21.13	20.88
9.	20 and over	3.76	5.34	4.59	3. 24	1.02	2.10	4.80	5.06	4.92	3.99	3.73	3.86
10.	not available†	_	-	-	-		1-10	-	-		0.44	1.29	0.79
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

 $[\]dagger$ Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

TABLE (4) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST WHOLESALE MARKET AND BY TYPE OF CONNECTING ROAD

number of sample villages: 1829

all India

National Sample Survey

							type of co	nnecting roa	d				
	distance		foot-track		U	nmetalled			others			total	
*	(in miles) —	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample I	half- sample 2	pooled
<u></u>	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(11)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*			-	1-0	-		105-2011	-		1.55	0.80	1.05
-				<u> </u>	0.35	1.11	0.75	_	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.28	0.18
2. 3.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} = 1$	1.23	0.26	0.73	0.38	-	0.18	0.27	0.38	0.33	0.42	0.26	0.34
4.	1 - 2	4.32	3.25	3.75	3.34	2.52	2.92	1.18	1.28	1.23	2.00	1.78	1.91
5.	2 - 3	7.44	6.28	6.84	7.81	6.48	7.11	3.50	3.58	3.54	4.78	4.44	4.59
6,	3 - 5	20.42	19.36	19.85	14.49	15.50	15.02	11.48	9.26	- 10.41	12.82	11.70	12.25
7.	5-10	32.83	36.06	34.54	38.13	34.05	35,99	27.39	26.17	26.79	29.02	28.03	28.54
	10-20	21.25	24.70	23.04	26.26	31.34	28.92	32.64	32.05	32.37	28.26	29.03	28.64
8. 9.	20 and over	12.51	10.09	11.25	9.24	9.00	9.11	23.54	27.22	25.30	18.03	19.05	18.54
-	not available†			_					-	-	2.95	4.63	3.95
10.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.60	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Type of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

			-		perçe	entage of villa	iges from ne	arest		1 7 - 4/3		
condition of road	1	nigh school			hospital	MARK THE	vete	erinary ho	spital	teles	graph office	6
	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled
(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
. within village	3.76	3.17	3.46	4.44	5.24	4.84	0.41	0.51	0.45	2.23	2.53	2.38
2. fair weather 3. all weather 4. others 5. not available	12.86 34.68 46.88 1.82	15.97 35.58 43.21 2.07	14.42 35.13 45.04 1.95	14.00 36.80 43.93 0.83	16.78 36.04 41.67 0.27	15.39 36.42 42.80 0.55	12.68 30.92 51.13 4.86	14.74 31.84 48.53 4.38	13.70 31.38 49.83 4.64	12.33 30.00 54.46 0.98	14.00 33.02 49.83 0.62	13.16 31.51 52.15 0.80
6. total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00	000.00	100.00

				percenta	ge of villages	from nearest			-
condition of road		urban areas		p	olice station		W	holesale marke	t
	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample	pooled
(0)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)
1. within village				2,93	3.57	3.33	1.65	0.80	1.06
2. fair weather 3. all weather 4. others 5. not available	9.92 24.37 62.57 3.14	11.21 25.66 60.40 2.73	10.56 25.02 61.49 2.93	15.38 38.08 43.17 0.44	18.43 37.87 38.84 1.29	16.90 37.97 41.01 0.79	11.56 26.46 57.38 2.95	13.01 28.10 53.46 4.63	12.29 27.28 55.42 3.95
6. total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

						con	dition of conr	necting road	d				
	istance in miles) -		fair weat	her		all weather	er.		others	1	THE STATE OF	total	TE
(in miles) —	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	poole
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*	-			_	_	-	(-	3.76	3.17	3.46
2.	0 - 1	0.56	0.46	0.51	0.29	0.94	0.62	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.21	0.46	0.33
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	0.29	0.31	0.30	0.96	0.64	0.80	0.76	0.61	0.69	0.73	0.54	0.63
4.	1 - 2	2.51	5.71	4.28	9.44	8.44	8.93	3.64	2.51	3.10	5.30	5.00	5.15
5.	2 - 3	8.42	11.18	9.95	15.19	10.96	13.05	5,17	6.90	6.00	8.78	8.67	8.72
6.	3 - 5	28.36	20.69	24.11	22.62	26.37	24.52	16.06	14.45	15.29	19.02	18.93	18.99
7.	5-10	31.02	29.28	30.05	30.69	20.05	30.36	28.59	28.45	28.52	28.03	27.66	27.84
8.	10-20	20.50	26.40	23.77	16.44	17.86	17.16	25.90	27.50	26.67	20.48	22.45	21.47
9.	20 and over	8.34	5.97	7.03	4.37	4.74	4.56	19.80	19.47	19.64	11.87	11.05	11.46
10.	not available†	-		-	-	-	PH		-		1.82	2.07	1.95
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

						co	ndition of co	onnecting roa	d				
	distance -	fe	air weather		al	l weather	-		others	0 118	31	total	
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled
-	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*		-	1	-	-		_	-	-	4.44	5.24	4.84
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	0.52	0.44	0.48	0.28	0.57	0.42	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.20	0.30	0.25
3.	1-1	0.37	0.30	0.33	1.36	0.60	0.98	0.50	0.74	0.62	0.77	0.58	0.67
4.	1 – 2	1.25	3.20	2.31	7.20	5.74	6.48	2.69	2.25	2.48	4.01	3.54	3.78
5.	2 - 3	7.90	10.19	9.15	12.85	11.75	12.31	5.01	5.07	5.04	8.04	8.06	8.06
6.	3 - 5	26.02	22.44	24.06	21.21	24.99	23.08	17.01	15.52	16.28	18.92	19.24	19.08
7.	5-10	37.19	37.19	37.19	38.69	35.73	37.22	35.43	34.48	34.97	35.00	33.48	34.24
8.	10-20	19.13	20.04	19.64	14.74	15.67	15.20	28.76	26.17	27.50	20.74	19.92	20.32
9.	20 and over	7.62	6.20	6.84	3.67	4.95	4.31	10.55	15.71	13.06	7.05	9.37	8.21
10.	not available†		-	-		-	A N		-		0.83	0.27	0.55
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

22

TABLE (6) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST VETERINARY HOSPITAL AND BY CONDITION OF CONNECTING ROAD

all India

National Sample Survey

154	B- 17 17 18			14	A. Fares	cor	dition of cor	necting road					
	distance (in miles)	f	air weathe	r	8	ll weather			others			total	
100	(in times)	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
A	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*		-					N. 75 - 25	_		0.41	0.51	0.45
2.	0 - 1	-	0.50	0.27	_			0.10	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.07
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	-	-		0.86	-	0.43	0.28	0.45	0.36	0.41	0.22	0.31
4.	1 = 2	4	1.67	0.90	4.15	2.40	3.26	2.16	1.99	2.08	2.39	1.97	2.18
5.	2 - 3	6.48	5.98	6.21	8.10	8.44	8.27	3.72	4.26	3.99	5.23	5.64	5.43
6,	3 – 5	18.24	16.18	17.13	18.21	18.47	18.34	13,46	10.27	11.91	14.83	13.25	14.04
7.	5-10	40.43	36.55	38.35	38, 12	36.00	37.04	32.27	29.88	31.11	33.41	31.36	32.38
8.	10-20	24.33	29.63	27.18	24.04	26.68	25.38	32.57	35.46	33.97	27.17	30.07	28.62
9.	20 and over	10.52	9.49	9.96	6.52	8.01	7.28	15.44	17.64	16.51	11.24	12.50	11.88
10.	not available		-			-					4.86	4.38	4.64
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

Indian Villages

						C01	ndition of	connecting roa	d					
	distance	fa	ir weather		al	l weather		-	others		total			
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	poole	
-	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
1.	0*	-10	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	2.23	2.53	2.38	
2.	0 - 1	0.85		0.40	0.13	0.60	0.38	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.19	0.24	0.22	
3.	1-1	0.19	0.36	0.28	0.93	0.39	0.65	0.10	0.18	0.14	0.36	0.27	0.31	
4.	1-2	0.76	1.45	1.13	4.92	3.85	4.36	□□, 2.18	2.29	2.23	2.76	2.62	2.69	
5.	2-3	4.75	6.25	5.54	7.42	9.98	8.76	4.72	4.30_	4.52	5.38	6.31	5.85	
6	3-5	16.17	17.17	16.70	19.69	20.61	20.17	13.03	11.24	12.17	14.99	14.81	14.90	
7.	5-10	34.71	33.69	34.17	37.22	33.65	35.35	₹ 29.78	30.05	29.91	31.66	30.80	31.23	
8.	10-20	28.30	30.19	29.31	23.13	23.86	23.51	31.55	30.75	31.17	27.62	27.43	27.52	
9.	20 and over	14.27	10.89	12.47	6.56	7.06	6.82	18.55	21.11	19.78	13.83	14.37	14.10	
0.	not available†	1	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	0.98	0.62	0.80	
1.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

26

TABLE (6) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST URBAN AREA AND BY CONDITION OF CONNECTING ROAD

number of sample villages: 1829

all India

National Sample Survey

						e	ondition of e	connecting ro	ad				
	distance	fa	ir weather		The Maria	all weathe	r		others			total	
	(in miles) —	half- sample	hålf- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	haif- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*	-	_	_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	_
2.	$0 - \frac{1}{2}$	-	0.66	0.35	0.37	0.63	0.50	0.20	0.11	0.16	0.21	0.30	0.26
3.	1 - 1	0.23	-	0.11	0.40	0.44	0.42	0.12	0.22	0.17	0.20	0.25	0.22
4.	1-2		0.62	0.33	2.23	1.76	1,99	1.03	1.12	1.07	1.19	1.20	1.19
5.	2 - 3	3.39	3.37	3.38	4.85	5.31	5.09	2.38	2.22	2.30	3.01	3.08	3.05
6.	3 - 5	12.73	14.14	13.48	13.27	11.72	12.48	7.96	7.60	7.78	9.48	9.18	9.33
7.	5-10	26.60	26.17	26,37	35.29	30.50	32.82	23.17	22.49	22.84	25.73	24.34	25.04
8.	10-20	35.23	38.60	37.02	28.71	33.72	31.28	35.79	32.08	33.97	32.89	32.36	32.62
9.	20 and over	21.82	16.44	18.96	14.88	15.92	15:42	29.35	34.16	31.71	24.15	26.56	25.36
10.	not available†	-	_	-		-	-			-	3.14	2.73	2.93
11.	total	100.00	100,00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

Indian Village

						ec	ndition of co	onnecting ro	ad				The same	
	distance (in miles)	- 1	fair weather	er		all weath	her		others			total		
	(iii iiiiico)	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample	pooled	
	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	
1.	0*	-	(A)	1-415	-	1	-	_	-	-	2.93	3.57	3.33	
2.	0 - 1	_	0.40	0.21	0.13	0.23	0.18	0.29	0.16	0.23	0.18	0.22	0.20	
3.	1-1	0.24	0.22	0.23	0.90	0.54	0.72	0.45	0.40	0.43	0.58	0.40	0.49	
4.	1 - 2	-	1.48	0.81	4.85	3.80	4.32	2.75	2.41	2.59	3.03	2.65	2.84	
5.	2 — 3	5.41	6.60	6.06	9.18	9.47	9.33	6.54	6.29	6.42	7.15	7.25	7.20	
6.	3 — 5	21.19	20.59	20.87	20.61	23.71	22.16	17.82	17.05	17.46	18.80	19.40	19.10	
7.	5-10	44.75	42.26	43.38	42.50	40.50	41.50	44.49	44.38	44.43	42.27	40.36	41.31	
8.	10-20 -	23.31	24.11	23.75	18.43	18.30	18.36	23.23	25.13	24.13	20.63	21.13	20.88	
9.	20 and over	5.10	4.34	4.69	3.40	3.45	3.43	4.43	4.18	4.31	3.99	3.73	3.86	
10.	not available†	-	_	-	_	-	111 To 111		-	_	0.44	1.29	0.79	
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village,

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

TABLE (6) (Contd.): PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION OF VILLAGES BY DISTANCE FROM THE NEAREST WHOLESALE MARKET AND BY CONDITION OF CONNECTING ROAD

	Commence of the control of the contr	104				eon	dition of con	necting road					
	distance	fa	ir weather	e.	8	ll weather			others			total	
	(in miles) -	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample	half- sample 2	pooled	half- sample 1	half- sample 2	pooled
-	(0)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
1.	0*	3		-	-	-	-	T	-	11-	1.65	0.80	1.06
2.	0 - 1		0.57	0.30	0.27	0.62	0.45	_	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.28	0.18
3.	$\frac{1}{2} - 1$	0.20	141	0.09	0.85	0.14	0.49	0.29	0.41	0.35	0.42	0.26	0.34
4.	1-2	0.83	1.27	1.07	4.65	3.19	3.90	1.17	1.36	1.26	2.00	1.78	1.91
5.	2 - 3	5.77	3.96	4.81	7.32	7.57	7.45	3.76	3.37	3.57	4.78	4.44	4.59
6.	3 – 5	18.15	14.77	16.36	15.48	17.00	16.27	11.52	9.35	10.48	12.82	11.70	12.25
7.	5-10	32.00	33.69	32.90	36.65	34.89	35.73	27.30	25.88	26.62	29.02	28.03	28.54
8.	10-20	27.74	34.47	31.30	25.98	25.16	25.56	31.68	32.67	32.16	28.26	29.03	28.64
9.	20 and over	15.31	-11.27	13.17	8,80	11.43	10.15 -	-24.28	26.89	25.53	-18.03	19.05	18.54
10.	not available	_	-	-	-	-	-			-	2.95	4.63	3.95
11.	total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

^{*} Condition of connecting road was not required to be reported for centres lying within the village.

[†] Distance not available cases have been shown under total.

APPENDIX II

FACSIMILE OF THE SCHEDULES OF INVESTIGATION

CENTRAL*

ORIGINAL* DUPLICATE

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA NATIONAL SAMPLE SURVEY: 1957-58 Schedule 3.0: Village Statistics

[11	identification :	sample	village		[2] p	articu	lars of	inve	estigation		T.	3] gen	eral n	artica	lara		1
	ate'		The second second	Assessed to the last	investi	_						ores (0		mi ticu	TWE 2		4
	strict		-	-	roll no												ı
	heil/taluk/thana		-	-			nvestigat	ют		0000 000		on (195		*****	(dags	1111000	::
	llago	*****		4	date :	(i) coll	lection .			3. no.	of ho	todosus	da			S	
5. no	rial number		1		-	(ii) dos	spatch to			4. no.	of ha	mlets					
3. zo	no-state-natural div	rision				ins	pector.						72	100	THE SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS		
7. 41	ratum	100000		8	. naine	of imag	pector			****		-operat		ititutio	D#		(2.5)
. pe	arty sub-round			6	. date o	of inspe	ontion.			0. no.	of m	oney le	nders.		1000		
). vi	iliago (s.u.l)	3000		7	. nignat	ure of	inspector		******	7. no.	of a	hops/st	alistf		Title		
), ne	heine			8	date o	of scrut	iny		******								
	d serial number (11/	12th rou	nd)*	-			ecrutina	or				inform			223.03		
z. ol	d mone code			10	. despat	teh to I	L8.1			9. ide	ntity	of info	rmant.				
				_	l] dist	tance t	to and o	om	municatio	on with							
AF.	item			distance (milos)	of road	on to	voyance (b)**	nr. no.		item			distance (miles)	of ro	ad e	usual conveyan (b)**	100
1)	(2)		-	(3)	(4)	-	(5)	(1)	-	(2)	-		(3)	(4		(5)	
1.	nearest metalled ro		100	100	-	-	×.	pearest p	rimary school	ol	-	177	- 17	-	(0)	-	
2.	, wource of elect	ly		-	-		9.		dle or U.P. s	-		-	-	-	-	-	
3.	. manure and a	-	100000				10.	., high	loodes				-	-			
4.	., market			-		11.	. hoe	pital	-						-		
8.	., wholesale man	rket	-			-	-50.	12.	vet	erinary hosp	ital	-			-		
6.	" post office					-		13	. pol	ice station		100	1	1		-	-
1300																	
7,	" telegraph offi	00			7741700		77.1.5	14	urt	an area		-				-	
7,			urces o	f water	r suppl	v		14	. " urt	ame	1(8)	educa	tive as	nd info	ormat	iveserv	ice
7,					r suppl		for dri	_	(n	ame	[8]	educa	tive as	nd info	1	ive serv	ice
7,		[5] sor	inside the	aly for		5	for dri	_	ng and other	ame	er.	educa	tive as	nd info	avai	nether ilable in	I
		[5] sor	inside the village	outsi	drinking	rillage	for dri	nkir	g and other trigat	er purposes ion		educa	on agency to	nd info	avai	nother lable in -	I
ar.		[5] sor	inside the	outsi	drinking	village noe in		nkir	ng and other	er purposes	er.	educa	on agency to	nd info	avai	hether dable in sample illage	I
ar.	squree	[5] sor	inside the village total	outsi	drinking ide the v	village nee in longs		nkir	g and other trigat	er purposes ion	er.	educa	on agency to	nd info	avai	hether dable in sample illage	D
sr.	squrce (2)	[5] sor	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er.	educa	item (2)	nd info	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
sr. no.	squrce (2)	[5] sor	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no.		item (2)	nd info	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
sr. no.	squrce (2) ponds.	[5] sor	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no.	radio	item (2)		avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
(1) 1, 2, 3,	squrce (2) ponds wells tube-wells river or natural e	(5) 801	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no.	radio	(2)	apor	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
sr. no. (1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds . wells tube-wells river or natural e	(5) sou	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no.	radio	item (2)	apor	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
(1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds wells tube-wells rivor or natural e springs collected rain wa	(5) sou	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village noe in longe min.	total n	nkir	ng and other opt irrigat distance	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no.	radio daily wook	(2)	apor	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
sr. no. (1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds, wells rivor or natural elements springs collected rain was	(5) sou	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7)	nkin exc	(n) og and othere i reigni distance max.	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no. (1)	radio daily wook	(2)	apor	avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
(1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds . wells tube-wells river or natural e springs collected rain wal	(5) sou	inside the village total no.	outsi	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village nee in longe min. (6)	total n	nkin exc	(n) og and othere i reigni distance max.	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no. (1)	radio daily wook	(2) newsp	apor	wi avai	sample illage 1, no-2	D
(1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds, wells tube-wells river or natural e aprings collected rain wat	(5) sou	or inside the village total no. (3)	outer total no.	drinking ide the v distar furl max.	village nee in longe min. (6)	total s	nkin exc	(n) og and othere i reigni distance max.	er purposes ion in furlongs min.	er. no. (1)	radio daily wook	(2) newspy newsp	apor	wi avai	sample illage 1, no-2	(
(1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5	squrce (2) ponds. wells tube-wells river or natural e aprings collected rain wal reserve tanks	(5) sor	or inside the village total no. (3)	total no.	drinking ide the v distant furl max. (5)	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7)	nkin exc	(n) ng and other opt irrigat distance max. (8)	er purposes fon in furlongs min.	er. no. (1)	radio daily wook publi	(2) newsply new libra	apor	wi avaithe y yes-	sether ilable in- sample illage 11, no-2	roo te
sr. no. (1) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6,	squrce (2) ponds wells tube-wells rivor or natural e aprings collected rain wai reserve tanks tilling	anal ter	or inside the the the the the the the the the th	total no.	drinking ide the v distant furl max. (5)	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7)	nkin exc	(n) ng and other opt trigat distance max. (8)	me purposes fon in furlongs min. (9)	er. no. (1)	radio daily wook publy	(2) newsply new libra	apor	will avail the vyes.	souther sample s	o (
sr. no. (1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	squrce (2) ponds, wells tube-wells river or natural e aprings collected rain wat reserve tanks tilling type (1) wor-drivan	(5) source and code (2)	or inside the village total no. (3)	outsile for outsil	drinkingide the drinkingide the task in the drinkingide the task in the drinkingide drinki	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (1) (9)	nkin exc	(n) ng and other opt trigat distance max. (8)	me purposes fon in furlongs min. (9)	er. no. (1) 1. 2. 2. 4.	radio daily wook publy	(2) newep ly new no libra n	apor	wi avai the yes-	souther sample s	o (
sr. no. (1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5 6. 7.	squrce (2) ponds wells tube-wells rivor or natural e aprings collected rain wal reserve tanks tilling	[5] south	tale	neks	dricking dri	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (8) (9) (9) (1) (1) (2) (3)	nkin exc	(n) ng and other opt trigat distance max. (8)	me purposes fon in furlongs min. (9)	(1) 1. 2. 3. chem	radio daily wook publy item (7)	(2) newep ly new no libra n	apor	wi avaitho y yes-	souther sample s	roo te
sr. no. (1) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5 6. 7. po	squrce (2) ponds, wells tube-wells river or natural e aprings collected rain wat reserve tanks tilling type (1) wor-drivan	(5) source and code (2)	tale	outsile for outsil	dricking dri	village nee in longe min. (6)	(7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (1) (9)	nkin exc	(n) ng and other opt trigat distance max. (8)	me purposes fon in furlongs min. (9)	er. no. (1) 1. 2. 4. chem comp	radio daily wook publy item (7)	(2) newspy new newspy n	apor	wi avaitho	nother isable in sample sample sample (3)	rco te

others

* dolate whichever is inapplicable.

* dolate whichever is inapplicable.

* code: (a) foot-track—fair weather-1; all weather-2; unmetalled road—fair weather 3; all weather-4; metalled road-5; railway-6; caral—assessand-7; perennial-8; river-9.

(b) railway train-1; motor bus or car-2; steamer-3; boat, cance-4; animal-drawn carts-5; rickshaw-6, cycle-7, foot-8; others 9 tring whichever code is applicable.

† code grocary-1, stationery-2, taikering-3, tea-stall-4, multipurpose-5

National Sample Survey

[8] educational institutions total number of teachers total number of students

| Continue | Conti type of male female | 2 5 (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) (11) (12) (13) (14) (15) 1. high school 2. middle or U.P. school 3. lower primary school 4. other institution [9] hospitals, dispensaries and private chambers no. of villages aerved by number of indoor bedn description gevt. aided private tetal (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) (10) ·(11)-(12) (13) (14) (15) 1. hospital 2. dispensary 3. - private chamber ×× 4. veterinary hospital 5. other hospital [10.1] general information of the sample village collected from different sources. item of information rich farmer agr. labour trader (1) (3) (4) yee/no 1. whether the village is dependent mainly on agriculture as principal means of livelihood yes/no 2. whether the village is sulf-sufficient in respect of labour during harvasting periods yes/no yes/no 3. level of economic condition of the village in 1957-58 compared to 1956-57* 4. whether the village was affected by flood during the preceding two years 5. any industry or craft (specified in the appendix....), code no..... [10.2] variation in area cultivated, production and price of crops in 1957-58 compared to 1958-57** information collected from most important crops agricultural labour rich farmer area cultivated production name codet cultivated production price (11) (12) (10) (8) (9) (6) (2) (3) (4) (5) [10.3] variation in 20-operative credit facilities availed of by villagers in 1957-58 compared to 1956-57** degree of change reported by rich farmer agr. labour rich farmer | agr. labour trader (7) (6) (4) (1) (2) co-operative societies 2. joint stock banks 3. money lenders 4. tradere [12] comments by inspector [11] remarks by investigator E.P. 1581-14-1-57-17,900

* better 1, sume-2, werse-3.
** less-1, same-2, more-3.
† autumn-1, winter-2, spring-3, others-4.