# No. M-11011/1/2017-DSDD Government of India Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation Data Storage and Dissemination Division

East Block 10, R K Puram New Delhi., dated: 04.09.2019

#### CORRIGENDUM TO E-TENDER NOTICE

With reference to E-Tender Notice No. M-11011/1/2017-DSDD published vide Tender ID:2019\_MOSPI\_485628\_1 dated 11/07/2019 for 'Appointment of System Integrator for MOSPI Integrated Information Platform'. The following Corrigendum/Addendum/Clarification in response to pre-bid queries/ conference (in addition to corrigendum issued on 26 July 2019,02 August 2019 and 14 August 2019) is hereby issued (ANNEXURE-I). It shall form an integral part of bid document and it may amount to an amendment of relevant Para/clause of the bid document. Wherever there is a conflict, the provisions herein shall prevail over the relevant section of the RFP/ bid document.

Bid submission date has been extended to 23<sup>rd</sup> September 2019, 6:00 PM and accordingly bid will be open on 24<sup>th</sup> September 2019, 9:30 AM.

Other terms and Conditions of the above tender remain same.

Head of Office, DSDD

Enclosed: ANNEXURE-I

होलियनकाप / Hauliankap अवर सचिव / Under Secretary भारत सरकार / Govt of India डी एस डी डी / DSDD सांख्यिकी और कार्यक्रम कायान्वयन, मंत्रालय Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation पूर्वी खण्ड –10, समाकृष्ण पुरम, नई दिल्ली –66 East Block - 10, R. K. Puram, New Delhi-66

The Corrigendum/Addendum/Clarification in response to RFP No. M-11011/1/2017-DSDD dated 11.07.2019 as per FPQC meeting held on 28.08.2019 & 02.09.2019.

**ANNEXURE-I** 

S. No.	Page No.	Section	Existing Clause (s)/ Content	Revised Clause(s)/ Corrigendum/ Addendum/ Clarification
1	58 of 105 (Volume 2)	6.2 (M3, M4, M5, M6, M7, M8)	Fourth Column:  "will be issued at the start of the project, after submission of a Bank Guarantee of an equal amount."	"will be issued at the start of the project, after submission of a Bank Guarantee of an equal amount." stands Cancel/ Deleted  Please refer Annexure IA.
2	12 of 50 (Volume 3)	16.5	The liability of Bidder (whether in contract, tort, negligence, strict liability in tort, by statute or otherwise) for any claim in any manner related to this Agreement, including the work, deliverables or Services covered by this Agreement, shall be the payment of direct damages only which shall in no event in the aggregate exceed the total value of the contract The liability cap given under this clause 16.5 shall not be applicable to the indemnification obligations set out in clause 16.1 and breach of clause 11.3 and 18 of this Agreement, or the Bidder's willful misconduct or gross negligence, or any claims of personal injury or property or	The liability of Bidder (whether in contract, tort, negligence, strict liability in tort, by statute or otherwise) for any claim in any manner related to this Agreement, including the work, deliverables or Services covered by this Agreement, shall be the payment of direct damages only which shall in no event in the aggregate exceed the total value of the contract The liability cap given under this clause 16.5 shall not be applicable to the indemnification obligations set out in clause 16.1 and breach of clause 11.3 and 18 of this Agreement, or the Bidder's willful misconduct or gross negligence, or any claims of personal injury or property or damage.
			damage.	contract value, subject to any enactment or change in policy/ direction in this matter by the Government of India in future.
3	Corrigendum III dated 14.08.2019 (Point No. 7,8,9)		Bidder offering cloud native services needs to submit a paragraph/detailed write up on meeting MOSPI requirements.	Cloud Service Provider solutions/functionality/ services should ensure compliance to respective requirements/ specifications/ functionality of RFP. The paragraph/ detailed write-up may

			Specification mentioned in the RFP are indicative specifications of various components to be complied and bidder needs to furnish the details in technical proposal against the service component.  In case of Non-Cloud Native services bidder need to comply with the specifications.	support equivalent or higher degree of specifications/ functionality. However, no additional weightage will be given for any higher level compliance offered by SI.  Specifications/ functionalities are indicative to the extent that it allows a prospective bidder to offer the best solution out of the available options/ products mentioned in the RFP.  In case of Non-Cloud Native services, bidder needs to comply with the specifications,
4	71-93 of 105 (Volume 2)	8.2.1 Architecture 8.2.2 Enterprise Portal 8.2.3 Content Management System 8.2.7 Learning Management System 8.2.8 Geographical Information System (GIS) 8.2.9 IVRS 8.2.10 Web Scrapping 8.2.11 Database	All included in the indicated sections below:  8.2.1 (a to k) 8.2.2 (a to t) 8.2.3 (a to s) 8.2.7 (a to w) 8.2.8 (a to cc) 8.2.9 (a to j) 8.2.10 (a to e) 8.2.11 (a to iii)	equivalent or higher, mentioned in the RFP.  Cloud Service Provider solutions/functionality/ services should ensure compliance to respective requirements/ specifications/ functionality of RFP. The paragraph/ detailed write-up may support equivalent or higher degree of specifications/ functionality. However, no additional weightage will be given for any higher level compliance offered by SI.  Specifications/ functionalities are indicative to the extent that it allows a prospective bidder to offer the best solution out of the available options/ products mentioned in the RFP.  In case of Non-Cloud Native services, bidder needs to comply with the specifications,
5	Corrigendum III dated 14.08.2019 (Point No. 15)		Format of Commercial Bid	equivalent or higher, mentioned in the RFP.  Specifying weightage to an item in format of commercial bid where pro-rata basis payment is to be made. In such cases, the upper limit mentioned in the format of commercial bid will

6	35 of 44 (Volume 1)	5.4.2	Format of Commercial Bid	be treated as weightage of the respective items (Cost of 1000 con-current users, Cost of 200 TB, Cost of web crawling for 100 web-sites, etc.)  Revised format is provided in <b>Annexure IB</b>
7	Corrigendum No. 6)	II dated 02.08.2019 (Point	SI shall also be responsible for procurement of required infrastructure through Government of India Cloud (Meghraj) or Government Community Cloud (GCC) or Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) services of MeitY empaneled Cloud Service Provider (CSP)	During the project period SI shall be responsible for providing requisite IT hardware and proposed software components for developmental/ staging environment at the location designated at Delhi.  SI shall also be responsible for procurement of required infrastructure through Government of India Cloud (Meghraj) or Government Community Cloud (GCC) or Virtual Private Cloud (VPC) services of MeitY empaneled Cloud Service Provider (CSP)

## Annexure IA: Payment Schedule for Project Implementation

Milestone	Activity	Timelines (in week's)	Payment
M0	Issue of Work Order	то	
M1	Initiation &Planning	T1=T0+2 Week	80% of the total cost of procured software
M2	Software Procurement, Installation and commissioning	T2=T0+6 Week	(Excluding support cost) or 10% of the total ordered value, whichever is lower
М3	Requirement Assessment & Design of solution	T3=T0+12 Weeks (It will start from the next working day of Stake holder meeting and Resource deployment date)	0.5% of the total ordered value (Excluding Support Phase Cost)
M4	Setting up of Data Warehouse including ETL	T4=T0+24 Weeks	2% of the total ordered value (Excluding Support Phase Cost)
M5	Development	T5=T0+28 Weeks	
М6	Testing Phase	T6=T0+30 Weeks	60% of the total ordered value (Excluding Support Phase Cost)
M7	Deployment (Go-live)	T5=T0+33 Weeks	
M8	Enablement	T5=T0+39 Weeks	7.5% of the total ordered value (Excluding Support Phase Cost)

<sup>\*</sup>Remaining Phase 1 cost will be given on half yearly basis in two equal instalments after successful Go-live of the project

### **Annexure IB: Format of Commercial Bid**

#### **Table 1: Commercial Bid**

S. No.	Category	Price (	(in INR)	Price (ii	n words)
A.	A=A1+A2+A3+A4+A5+A6+A7+A8+A9				
A1	MIIP Solution (As per the proposed Bill of Material)				
A2	Licence/ Subscription Cost for entire project period, approximate concurrent users for following components:  GIS Components = 20 concurrent users Data Analytics & BI = 20 concurrent users LMS = 10 concurrent users CMS = 10 concurrent users, Others (NIIP Users) = 100 Users  (for sizing purpose only)				
A3	Cost of additional per 50 concurrent users up to 1000 users*	(Cost per 50 Concurrent users)	(Total cost of 900 concurrent users)	,	(Total cost of 900 concurrent users)
A4	Cloud (including hosting the solution on cloud) 36 TB cost				
A5	Per TB cost up to 200 TB* (for 48 months)	(Cost per TB)	(Total Cost for 164 TB)	(Cost per TB)	(Total Cost for 164 TB)
A6	Disaster Recovery (10% across workloads)				
A7	Web Crawling of 5 websites including e-commerce sites				
A8	Web Crawling of per website up to 100 websites	(Cost per website)	(Total cost for 95 websites)	(Cost per website)	(Total cost for 95 websites)
A9	Cost of Hadoop Cluster on Cloud				
В.	Implementation of MIIP (Phase-I) including 50 APIs				
C.	Support (Phase-II) (C=C1+C2+C3+C4)				
C1.	Software Support: Updates/Upgrades: In the event of release of updates & upgrade by the OEMs, the successful bidder shall update and upgrade the system from time to time under the AMC.				

C2.	Operational Support: (C2.1+C2.2+C2.3)	
C2.1	5 years support.	
C2.2	Onsite IT-Support	
C2.3	Off-site Helpdesk	
C3.	On-going Additional Requirements: 150 man-month efforts as mentioned in RFP section 4.3.2, page 53 in volume-2 of the SI over a period of 5 years of on-going support period for implementation of additional requirements of MOSPI. (Refer S. No. 1 & 2 in Table 2)	
C4.	Total Cost of for all OEM products- One Man Month for each OEM Resource (as per requirements) proposed in S. No. 3 in Table 2	
D.	Training Cost	
Total Cost (A+B+C+D	)	
Taxes and Duties		
Total Cost of Owners	ship (Including Taxes and Duties)	

<sup>\*</sup>Payment for additional resources procured by MoSPI will be paid on pro-rata basis every quarter

Table 2: Man-month Rate for on-going support for implementation of additional requirements of MOSPI

S. No.	Team Category	Roles	Man-Month Rate(INR)	Taxes	Man-month rate inclusive of taxes(INR)
		Team Lead			
1	Application Development	Senior Software Developer			
1	Application Development	Software Developer			
		Business Analyst			
		Team Lead for Analytics			
2	Analytics & Data Management	Data Management Expert			
2		Analytics/Statistical Modeler			
		Business Analyst			
	OEM Resources as per requirement	Team Lead for Analytics			
3	(Analytics, GIS, Data Management,	Data Management Expert			
	etc.)	Analytics/Statistical Modeler			

		Business Analyst		
		Others		

### Table 3: Additional Resource Off-site Helpdesk (if required) [1]

Roles	Man-Month Rate(INR)	Taxes	Man-month rate inclusive of taxes(INR)
IT-Helpdesk Resource			

<sup>[1]</sup> Bidder to provide per seat cost in Table 3 (Additional Resource Off-site Helpdesk) as per "Off-site helpdesk" page number 51 of RFP Vol -2. The cost quoted must be equal or less than the cost per resource mentioned in the Format of Commercial Bid (**Table 1, S. No: C2.3**)

#### Table 4: Additional On-Site IT-Support if required)<sup>[2]</sup>

Roles	Man-Month Rate(INR)	Taxes	Man-month rate inclusive of taxes(INR)
Developer			
Sr. Developer			
Lead			
Functional/Domain			

<sup>[2]</sup> Bidder to provide additional resource cost for each mentioned role as per "On-site IT Support" page number 51 of RFP Vol-2. The cost quoted must be equal or less than the cost per resource mentioned in the Format of Commercial Bid (**Table 1, S. No: C2.2**)

#### Table 5: Additional Cost of Training (if required)[3]

Roles	Batch Size	Rate(INR)/Per day	Taxes	Rate inclusive of taxes(INR)		
Trainer	20					
** Infrastructure for training will provided by MOSPI						

<sup>[3]</sup> The cost quoted by bidder must be equal or less than the cost per batch mentioned in the Format of Commercial Bid (**Table 1, S. No: D**)

(Table 3,4 & 5 will not form the part of financial bid but would be used as pro-rata rates if the man-month effort requirements increase more than the envisaged man-month efforts. For the purpose of evaluation of effort of skill-set required beyond the envisaged man-month, efforts have been taken as provided in section 4.3.2 of RFP Vol 2)

#### **Table 6: Bill of Material**

S.No.	Item/ Module	-	Proposed Solution (Provide the Product Name or fill Custom Built, in case of a new development)	Unit of Measurement	Number of Licenses(UAT)	Number o Licenses (Training)	Number of Licenses (Production)	Number of Licenses (DR)
			, ,					